January 1

MORE THAN A WOMAN'S ISSUE

Tom Hanley responds to Alexandra Fremont's "Reflection on a Tragedy"

I agree that the atrocity that occurred in Montreal was just that, an atrocity. I feel outrage for this and other senseless slaughters. I also feel that such acts of aggression and brutality cannot be blown out of proportion but it can, and has been blown out of perspective.

This incident was the product of an insane person, not indicative of the majority of people. After the "Son of Sam" slayings not all men were labelled satanists because this person was insane and not representative of the majority. The idea that feminism was to blame is ridiculous. Only through the warped, insane mind of Mr Lepine could that be seen as to blame. No one really believes it was the cause. Mr Lepine could have as easily come to see Blacks, Hispanics, Asiatics or any other recognizable group as the cause of his failure. Had events in his life been a little different he may have singled out one of these groups and not women.

I am interested in knowing where Ms Fremont got the figures she quoted in her article last week. Also I am curious as to the context from which her quotes are taken. Is it possible that the officer was expressing his exasperation at the difficulty of convincing the abused wife to testify against her husband? The quote used is not even a complete sentence and therefore there is no way of determining any contextual meaning.

"Why is the oppression of women an acceptable practice in our society." It is? Any act of "oppression" in this society meets with immediate attention while true oppressive acts in other societies (ie. South Africa) may go unnoticed for extended periods of time. Here, in this society, race, language and sex "oppression" are found out and dealt with daily, forcing publicly visible employers to establish applied "equality", which is not equality at all, merely so that the numbers look good. True oppressive acts against women are committed by the minority.

As far as the funding of a "date" rape committed 3 Amana House is concerned I years ago. Men and women am afraid I must plead first do play sex games, and my ignorance and second my unfortunately the "tease" is interest. I would like to one of these games. know some exact figures Consequently I am made comparative to the alcohol very uncomfortable by the and drug abuse center for possibility similar misinterpretation. and 2120 facilities in provinces. importantly I might ask "no" must always mean

why on earth is it necessary "no". to segregate men and women The residences are the in these facilities. These focal point of this campus, people aren't on a Junior at least socially. If Ms High field trip. Is it Fremont were as concerned possible that this is a result with other issues, she would of excessive pressure by realize that any rally/event feminist groups for which comes on campus is "equality"? Are the in competition with the emotional and medical residences. I'm also pretty problems of substance certain that all of the abusers so radically marchers in no way made different between the sexes any actions that could have that separate facilities are incited or egged the justified? That would be residences on in any way. quite a news flash: men and The harsh reality is that any women are different, event on campus within different but equal. Maybe earshot/stereoshot will women need their own receive an audible challenge schools, their own of some sort. hospitals - I know that's I agree

Segregation rates and length of prison merely heightens the terms for wife abusers are problem by helping to ridiculous, but one would create gender stereotypes.

Ms Fremont's point husband abuse and the Ms Fremont's point husband abuse and the mot used internally, I would start with a "radical" view. concerning date rape is very prescribed punishments are not used internally, I would start with a "radical" view. valid. Male students at even more ridiculous. ask what she thinks As the revolution gains Queen's reacted in a juvenile Spousal abuse, both mental and irresponsible manner. I and physical, is an area of the condom on. I think revolutionaries must have a personal friend who law in which there must be maybe one will be a little become clear to the center is to this day traumatized by some change for the better. more appreciative of their and the whole society

I agree that conviction

There must be some way for being tested now. They are society to bring husbands not just used by men, but and wives out from behind many women have a vested the wall of abuse so that interest they can be helped.

Concerning public condom. breastfeeding by mothers and the negative reaction I would like to point out they encounter, I would say that these "thalidomide" that this is a result of babies were both male and social discomfort with female and had loving public nudity. Just as many fathers as well as mothers women as men would find who were both deeply hurt.
this disconcerting, maybe I'm not well informed on
more. It in no way is this issue, but I would love indicative of oppression of to know more. The problem

women by men. from UNBSJ. First, I there is a monthly Gleaner for any insulation in some building misinterpretation of the is slowly killing its cartoon. Was the intent of occupants or that some new this cartoon to glorify herbicide renders men the warped, unnatural, in mothers' milk. These butchering monster he is. I interpret it as the latter and shortcomings if anything I would say that everyone. the decapitated liberated specific problem of toxic woman is the image of the shock syndrome is a part of martyr. The martyr is this more encompassing symbolic of the sacrifices the initial feminists made. to amend this more other Therefore myself and all present ones revere so More others must realize that highly. The message is not that liberated women should be killed but that to do so is unnatural, making the target the fictitious monsterbutcher. Is it possible this cartoon's intent is actually pro-liberation?

> Concerning toxic shock syndrome and the unfortunate death of the young lady from Nova Scotia let me begin by expressing my deepest sympathies to her family. Now is this a case of oppression? I don't think that this is the result of some subversive chauvinist effort to slowly kill off stereotype of the chauvinist women through internal pig as representative of poisoning. What it is is males. Fremont's solution the result of an abysmal may come at the cost of

in

Concerning thalidomide lies in the lack of federal Now onto "Frosty the health testing and safety Snow Butcher", the cartoon standards. It seems that believe that a full apology announcement of some new was printed in the Daily carcinogenic or that the "Frosty" or to depict him as impotent and leaves dioxins sort of health testing affect The gender problem. Shall we attempt encompassing problem or shall we leave it a "look out for yourself" kind of deal? For the record, I support Fremont's wholeheartedly that feminine hygiene products should be tax free and offer my voice in any constructive support necessary. Taxing these products is utterly ridiculous.

I feel that the feminist movement set out to end the male view of the stereotyped woman. Obviously this end is nearing fruition but please let us not taint this budding success by embracing that bureaucratic oversight. As establishing a stereotype as

becomes one and integrates the new order. It is time to begin the ending of the the segregation of men and dependability of the women and begin a partnership for equality. Our help is here to be enlisted, take it and bring the objective fully into reality. The majority of men see women and men as equals. Women must not make enemies of their supporters by committing the sin they seek to cure.

What I am asking is that women see the Montreal massacre for what it is: the act of an extremely disturbed person. I hope that these comments will be taken as they were intended. I would like to propose that Fremont's new column, "The Women's Room" be changed to "The Common Room" where people's issues could be discussed. I would be more than happy to coauthor/co-edit (as equals of course) just such a column. If this does not suit Ms Fremont, I would like to ask the Bruns Executive if I might venture to take on such a column on my own but I would really like to tackle this in partnership with Ms Fremont.

. Lepine could have at asily ome to slatics or ecognizable roup as the cause of his las the intent of this artoon Frosty the Snow Butcher"] clorify "frosty" or to depict tim as the warped, utchering monster he is? feel that the feminist tovement set out to end the male view of he stereotyped roman...but please let us aint this budding success imbracing that stereotype if the hauvinist pig expresentative

75 York 458-84