tute.]

nish-

that:

t G.,

utive

ebted

ove-

the

d to

ithin

was ssued

ced-

stru-

250. 362

meen

ution

Vhen

int's

le-

Con-

Pur-

ourt

an

Act,

er a

inds

rsu-

The e in

and

ect-

ed that the lands be sold to St. P. with the doing of something auto the credit of the matter, A. D., unauthorized. the father and next friend of the Barber v. Proudfoot, decided execute a proper deed of convey-followed. ance to the purchaser. A deed to 4. The most restricted construc-St. P. was produced at the trial, tion possible must be placed upon which recited that it was made these enactments. pursuant to an order of the Court. It was executed by the infant by 420, and Whelan v. Ryan, 20 his next friend for the expressed S. C. R. 65, followed. consideration of \$200, and there not paid into Court until the 23rd September, 1881, and on the 30th August, 1881, St. P. had re-sold the land for \$546 to H., who appeared to have paid the money into Court.

Held, 1. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the deed must be assumed to have been executed on the day it bore date.

St. P. was invalid, because it was plied authority of agent to warrant executed without authority from the Court, and the order after- poses-Implied warranty. wards made did not provide for a conveyance already executed, and by its terms the payment of the money into Court was a condition precedent to the exercising of the emptor. power to convey, and sections 10, 11 and 12 of the Half-Breed Lands Act, R. S. M. c. 67, did not cure these defects.

3. Section 11 pre-supposes the fudgment debtor - Examination existence of some order, fiat or of - Refusal to answer questions decree authorizing the sale. Its concerning business carried on in object is to cure defects, irregulari-wife's name. ties and omissions in connection

for \$200, and that, upon payment thorized by the Court to be done, into Court of the purchase money not to validate proceedings wholly

infant, be empowered to make and 30th November, 1889, unreported,

O'Brien v. Cogswell, 17 S. C. R.

was a receipt for the money en-proof of an order of the Court for dorsed thereon and signed in the the sale of a half-breed infant's same way. The deed was dated lands, where the order had been the 11th October, 1880, and there lost, considered. Hardy v. Des-

HOMESTEAD.

Liability for taxes before patent. See Prohibition, 3.

HORSE.

Sale of horse- Principal and 2. The deed of conveyance to agent-Undisclosed principal-Im--Stallion sold for breeding pur-

See PRINCIPAL AND AGENT.

Sale of horse-Contagious disease -Diseases of Animals Act-Caveat

See SALE OF GOODS, 2.

HUSBAND AND WIFE.

See Examination, 2.