

Canada Law Journal.

VOL. XX.

APRIL 15, 1884.

No. 8.

DIARY FOR APRIL.

20. Sun.....1st Sunday after Easter.
23. Wed....St. George's Day.
24. Thur....Earl Cathcart, Governor-General, 1846.
27. Sun.....2nd Sunday after Easter.
29. Tues....Primary Examinations for Students-at-Law and Articled Clerks.

TORONTO, APRIL 15, 1884.

WE have a great admiration for the critic of the *Canadian Law Times*. He makes his office no sinecure. He is paid to criticize, and criticize he does. Sometimes, perhaps, he shows *trop de zèle*, but that is a failing of all earnest benefactors of their species. In his criticism of Mr. Holmsted's "General Rules and Orders of the Courts of Law and Equity," he has covered himself with glory. We were weak enough to find nothing in the book but matter of praise. Not so the critic of the *Canadian Law Times*. He places his finger with telling force (and this is the only criticism attempted) on the "Addenda and Corrigenda" appended to Mr. Holmsted's volume. With piquant sarcasm he calls it a "rather lengthy treatise on addenda and corrigenda," and observes with much irony that the "subject has been exhausted by previous authors." We ourselves prefer to gather instruction from the *Canadian Law Times*, rather than in any way criticize its utterances. We repudiate any idea in this instance of criticizing, but we ask for "more light." To our feeble intellects a long list of "addenda and corrigenda" appended to a book is an indication of two things—industry and honesty. Our contemporary cannot object to industry and honesty. It is itself a monument of the one and the guardian of the other. *Addenda*, as the

critic of the *Canadian Law Times*, being a scholar, is well aware, means "things to be added;" *corrigenda*, means "things to be corrected." Now when an author appends to a book a long list of *addenda*, he seems to us to give a proof of industry, inasmuch as he shows he is working at his subject up to the last moment, and is in fact adding to the information contained in his book, and in the case of Mr. Holmsted's book it will be found that the number of "addenda," containing new citations and authorities, are far in excess of the *corrigenda*. But *corrigenda*, in their turn, are a proof of honesty to our view. For among the common crowd of readers who are not writers in the *Canadian Law Times*, errors, misprints, and slips on the part of an author are extremely likely to go undetected, unless the author himself for the sake of accuracy candidly calls attention to them.

RECENT ENGLISH DECISIONS.

THE January and February "Law Reports" comprise 25 Ch. D. pp. 1-242; 12 Q. B. D. pp. 1-141; and 9 P.D., pp. 1-26.

In the first of these a great number of the cases are on points of bankruptcy law, and others on points of practice. The former do not require mention here, and the latter will be noted in due course among Recent English Practice Cases. Of those which do not fall under either of these denominations, the following require special notice.

FOREIGN PATENT—"RIGHT TO SELL ARTICLES IN ENGLAND"
—INJUNCTION.

The first case, *Société Anonyme des Manufactures de Glaces v. Tilghman's Patent*