Seignior might claim the capital of this constituted rent, and by the redemption and gradual extinction of these rents, the right of quint would be less, and the Crown, as well as the Censitaire, is interested in preventing the Seignior from selling his forest lands. Besides the edict is positive, and declares that these sales shall not be made, on pain of nullity, and even of the reimbarsement of the price of sale, if the same shall have been paid.

thei

to co

is er

by detri

com

at tl

who

His

dilig beh:

the

so d

and

Sei

shal wit

lish

wh

of

pe eo

pa ur la

H H

recompond

As to the rate of cens et rentes, the ediets of the 6th of July, 1711, and of the 15th of March, 1732, declare that the lands shall be conceded at the ordinary rate now, no example can be found before 1763, of any rate exceeding four sols per arpent; a

rate exceeding this one is not therefore an ordinary rate.

The Censitaires have made constant efforts to maintain their rights before the Courts, and among the numerous precedents to be found (and which are hereafter cited) there are a great many in which decisions have been given in favor of the Censitaires, under the French Government, and there are none in which the Courts, since the Cession of the Country, have decided these old ordinances not to be in force; it is true that the tribunals have often avoided deciding the questions upon their real merits; the present Courts have therefore nothing left but the duty of carrying these ordinances into execution in the interest of the Censitaires and of the Seigniors.

The Report of the Commissioners on the Seigniorial Tenure, of 1843, declares these ediets to be in force, and that the powers of the Intendant are transferred to our present Courts. (1)

Bowen, Chief Justice:

This important case has been pleaded with great care on both sides, and yet the questions which it presents are not new. The action was brought for the recovery of a sum of £16 currency, arrears of cens et rentes due to the Plaintiff by the Defendant, under a deed of concession.

To this action the Defendant pleaded the provisions of the arrêt of the 6th July, 1711, contained in the 1st vol. of the Edits et Ord. p. 321 (2) and the provisions of the arrêt of the 25th March, 1732 also contained in the 1st vol. of the Edits et Ord. p. 486. (3)

Arret of the 6th July, 1711.

And His Majesty being also informed that there are some Seigniors who refuse, under various pretexts,

⁽¹⁾ Act. 17, George III: Act 34 George III:—Report of the Commissioners on the Seignorial Tenure, 1843:—Cugnet, Trailé des Fiefs, p. 60:—1 Henrion de Pansey, Dissertations Feodales, pp. 275 and 276:—Ancien Denisart, vbo. cens:—Arrêt of the 29th May, 1713: 2 Ellis et Ordonances, p. 39:—Arrêt of the 15th February 1716, of the 28th June, 1721, of the 29th September, 1721, of the 16th October, 1721, of the 21st February, 1731, of the 20th July, 1733, of the 23rd January, 1738, and the 23rd February, 1748. On the question whether a portion of a deed can be declared null without declaring the whole deed to be null:—Perrin, Trailé des nullités...:—Guyot, Repertoire de jurisprudence, vbo. nullités: Ferrière, Dictionnaire de droit vbo. nullités.

⁽²⁾ The King being informed that, among the tracts of land which His Majesty has been pleased to grant and coneede in Seigniory to his subjects in New France, there are some which have not been entirely settled, and others on which there are as yet no settlers to bring them into a state of cultivation, and on which also those to whom they have been conceded in Seigniory have not yet commenced to make clearings for the purpose of establishing their domain thereon.