

bypassed the country elevator system and the Canadian Wheat Board embargo and shipped grain that had a moisture content as high as 20 per cent in producer cars to Thunder Bay or Vancouver. Those are the kind of people who like this legislation. Those are the kind of people the Parliamentary Secretary says are satisfied. I guess they are satisfied.

All these extra costs that are incurred by producer-loaded cars are averaged into railway costs and are paid by all grain shippers in the freight rate. It would be grossly unfair to relieve producer-car shipments of their share of carrying the costs of the country elevator system and not charge them the extra costs that producer cars incur. I do not think anyone can argue with any kind of logic or facts and figures that producer cars do not produce extra costs.

The three wheat pools, the UGG and the National Farmers' Union, five of the major farm organizations in Canada, all oppose the Bill and they all say that the proposed change in Clause 8 is an increased incentive to the use of producer-loaded cars and a much larger percentage of them will be used. This will increase pressure on the rationalization of the country system and an over-all slowdown of the ability to service the export grain market. It would also increase the concerns of producers regarding undue shrinkage of the grain handling and transportation system. The culprit, as those five major farm organizations see it, is Clause 8.

These organizations say, and we agree, that because of the inclusion of Clause 8 in the Bill, and because of the narrow stubbornness of the Government, the Minister and the Parliamentary Secretary, Bill C-92 is bad legislation. On those grounds, they say that they will continue to oppose it. It is contrary to the interests of the vast majority of prairie farmers and, as well, it jeopardizes the orderly marketing system.

I find it incredible—I should not find it incredible. It is not unusual. I have heard the Tories say that they are in favour of the co-operative movement and the wheat pools and they support them and are in favour of orderly marketing. They say they are in favour of the Canadian Wheat Board and that they want to strengthen it. Yet every time they have made a move, they have weakened the Canadian Wheat Board and the orderly marketing system.

Clause 8 flies in the face of the whole principle of orderly marketing and of the existence of the Canadian Wheat Board. That underlying principle is the sharing by all producers, co-operatively, in the benefits and the costs of shipping their grain to market, 80 per cent of which is the export market.

The Tories talk one way and act the other. Over the years, I have heard Tories say what great supporters they are of orderly marketing and the Canadian Wheat Board. Then every year I find that the Tories are criticizing, complaining and legislating to weaken the system that they claim to be such great supporters of.

The fact is that the Tories are pandering to, at best, 3,000 or 4,000 grain producers who belong to the barley, flax and

western wheat growers' associations and a couple or three other little outfits. They are pandering to them out of their narrow market forces, bottom line, private enterprise ideology at the expense of probably 90 per cent of the grain producers of western Canada.

We will be calling on all the farmers we can after this Bill passes, if it does. We will be going to see them by the thousands to show them once again how the struggle by the co-operative movement and by western grain producers through various economic and political actions to get the Canadian Wheat Board strengthened and orderly marketing from being undermined. We can win all the economic battles we like, but they can be done away with or weakened with the stroke of a pen by an unfriendly Government which does not believe in or support what obviously 90 per cent of the grain producers of western Canada want and demand.

This is just an attack on the Canadian Wheat Board and the whole concept of orderly marketing. I for one, and members of my Party as well, will not hold still for it. I know that tens of thousands of grain producers in western Canada will not hold still for it either.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is the House ready for the question?

Some Hon. Members: Question.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Some Hon. Members: No.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

Some Hon. Members: Yea.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: All those opposed will please say nay.

Some Hon. Members: Nay.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: In my opinion the yeas have it.

And more than five Members having risen:

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Pursuant to Standing Order 13(5), the recorded division stands deferred until six o'clock on Monday,

* * *

LOBBYISTS REGISTRATION ACT

MEASURE TO ENACT

The House proceeded to the consideration of Bill C-82, an Act respecting the registration of lobbyists, as reported (with amendments) from a legislative committee.