

*Order Paper Questions*

concerns suspending the sitting of the House after third reading to wait for royal assent to the bill later today. Those, Madam speaker, were basically the four agreements that were reached. We shall not necessarily have to sit past six o'clock, but there is a possibility, and if we do, the other agreements I just mentioned will take effect.

[English]

**Mr. Nielsen:** Yes, Madam Speaker, that reflects accurately the agreement which was reached. It should be clear for the record, however, that our agreement to send the matter to Committee of the Whole will occur after second reading.

**Mr. Deans:** Madam Speaker, we also agree that those are the terms that we set down, discussed and agreed upon earlier today.

**Madam Speaker:** Therefore, does the House agree to the proposals of the Hon. President of the Privy Council?

**Some Hon. Members:** Agreed.

OBSERVANCE OF REMEMBRANCE DAY—TWO-DAY  
ADJOURNMENT

**Mr. Pinard:** Madam Speaker, as next week's Remembrance Day is most important—and this year it falls on a Thursday—and since many Members will want to leave to be in their constituencies on Thursday, we have discussed and agreed that we will not sit next Friday, provided the time will be recouped. Therefore, I think there is unanimous consent for the following motion:

That on Monday, November 8, 1982 and Tuesday, November 9, 1982, the provisions of Standing Order 6(1) shall be suspended; and

That when the House adjourns on Wednesday, November 10, 1982, it shall stand adjourned until Monday, November 15, 1982.

The effect of the motion is obvious. The House will sit between six and eight o'clock next Monday and Tuesday evenings, but it will not be sitting next Thursday and Friday.

**Mr. Nielsen:** Madam Speaker, that accurately reflects our agreement.

**Madam Speaker:** Therefore, it is agreed and so ordered.  
Motion agreed to.

• (1510)

## ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

## QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

**Mr. David Smith (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Madam Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: Nos. 2,561, 4,171, 4,300, 4,309 and 4,520.

[Text]

## CORPORAL CYRIL HOUSE

Question No. 2,561—**Mr. Crosby:**

1. (a) Was Corporal Cyril House a member of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police and did he resign in May, 1981 or at any other time (b) what were the reasons stated in his resignation document and (i) does the Commissioner of the RCMP agree the reasons were a true and correct representation of the facts (ii) does the Commissioner think the reasons were false and vexatious?

2. Did Cpl. House apply for a position with a company or entity owned or operated by the Irving Oil Group in the Province of New Brunswick in 1980 or 1981 while he was a member of the RCMP and, if so, was the application consistent with the rules and regulations applicable to members of the RCMP?

3. Was Cpl. House charged with a violation of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police Act during his term of office and, if so, was he found guilty of any violation or was he otherwise punished or disciplined under the rules and regulations applicable to members of the RCMP?

4. Was Cpl. House charged or found guilty of insubordination or of violating the rules and regulations governing members of the RCMP by virtue of (a) providing secret documents or reports to members of the media and, in particular, to Mr. C. Arnold Patterson, President of Dartmouth Broadcasting Company operating Radio Station CFDR (b) improperly disclosing information provided to him on a confidential basis to the media and, in particular, to Mr. Patterson (c) issuing derogatory, defamatory and untrue statements about officers of the Bank of Nova Scotia and other chartered banks?

5. (a) Does Cpl. House have any legal training and, in particular, does he have any educational qualifications or training that would qualify him to give opinions or make judgments on matters of law (b) which persons in the RCMP are authorized under the Royal Canadian Mounted Police Act or are otherwise authorized to make judgments on matters of law?

6. Was Cpl. House at the time of his resignation the victim of any debilitating disease or other sickness affecting his mental or physical capacities or capabilities, including alcoholism or addiction to drugs?

**Hon. Bob Kaplan (Solicitor General):** 1. (a) Corporal Cyril House was a member of the RCMP, and he resigned July 3, 1981. (b) The reasons for Corporal House's resignation would be considered personal information under Part IV of the Canadian Human Rights Act. (i) and (ii) There are no comments on Corporal House's resignation document by the Commissioner of the RCMP.

2. Force management is not aware of Corporal House having applied for a position with Irving Oil Group or any of its subsidiaries in the Province of New Brunswick while he was a member of the RCMP. In such an event, he would not have been in contravention of any RCMP regulation.

3. No.

4. (a), (b) and (c) Corporal House was not charged with any violation of the regulations governing members of the RCMP, and we have no reason to believe he was responsible for any of the allegations suggested here.

5. (a) Corporal House's educational background would be considered personal information under Part IV of the Canadian Human Rights Act. (b) All members of the RCMP are making judgments on matters of law in the course of discharging their daily duties. However, legal decisions or opinions are made by agents of the provincial Attorney General or the federal Department of Justice.