Oil Export Tax

system I am unaware that there was any intention of altering the Gregorian calendar, which in effect is what the Cape Breton Development Corporation and the Sydney Steel Corporation have done. Apparently they now have a 13-month year. Added to that, Devco has accepted financial responsibility for payments to Mr. William Campbell from the Sydney Steel Corporation. In view of this particular financial arrangement I move, seconded by the hon. member for Cape Breton-The Sydneys (Mr. Muir):

• (1130)

That this House instructs the Auditor General to inquire into and report upon to this House the circumstances under which Cape Breton Development Corporation and the Sydney Steel Corporation issued 1973 T-4 slips to Mr. William Campbell, as both claim to be the employer, although Mr. Campbell was never employed by Sydney Steel Corporation and the total income amount recorded on these T-4 slips exceeded the amount of Mr. Campbell's 1973 income.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member's motion is proposed under the terms of Standing Order 43 and requires the unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimity and the hon. member's motion cannot be put.

Mr. Bell: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, the hon. member who said no was not sitting in his seat.

Mr. MacInnis (Cape Breton-East Richmond): Mr. Speaker, inasmuch as two committees of the House have given me 100 per cent support on this issue involving the Cape Breton Development Corporation and as the member who declined the motion was not in his seat when he said no I think that in all fairness the motion should now carry.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Speaker: I think the hon. member will recognize that not only one member said no. I heard quite a number of nays. To satisfy the hon. member and the House, and because the hon. member seems to think he has a good point, I will inquire again. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: No.

ENERGY

OIL EXPORT TAX REVENUES AND EQUALIZATION—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. G. W. Baldwin (Peace River): Mr. Speaker, I also wish to make use of this extraordinary remedy under Standing Order 43. The pressing and urgent circumstances of the matter and the reason why this procedure is being used instead of the ordinary procedure to obtain what I seek will be evident from the motion itself, the facts indicated in the statement made by my leader today, and [Mr. MacInnis (Cape Breton-East Richmond).]

the report in the press. If the House will allow me to pursue this search for truth, I would move:

That this House orders the government and the Minister of Finance to immediately produce and table all documents, letters and memoranda or copies of the same which are now or were in the possession of the minister or any member of the government or any government official dealing with the question of revenues from the oil export tax bill qualifying as equalization payments under the Federal-Provincial Fiscal Arrangements Act.

Mr. Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimity and the motion cannot be put.

LABOUR RELATIONS

STRIKE AT UNITED AIRCRAFT PLANT, LONGUEUIL—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. Paddy Neale (Vancouver East): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the terms of Standing Order 43 to move a motion of pressing and urgent necessity. In view of the protracted and bitter strike now in effect at United Aircraft at Longueuil, Quebec, and in view of the fact that this large American conglomerate is threatening to move most of the production of the PT-6 engine to its plants in the United States, I would move, seconded by the hon. member for Burnaby-Seymour (Mr. Nelson):

That the House urge the Minister of Labour to meet with his counterpart in the province of Quebec in an attempt to obtain an immediate and just settlement of this dispute, to ensure that the production of the engines necessary for our STOL aircraft is continued in Canada and not removed to the United States.

Mr. Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimity.

BEAUTY PAGEANT

REPLACEMENT OF MISS CANADA—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. John Reynolds (Burnaby-Richmond-Delta): Mr. Speaker, as the Miss Dominion of Canada Pageant has replaced the reigning Miss Canada with the fifth runner-up of the 1971 contest instead of with last year's first runner-up, Miss J. Olson, from Delta, British Columbia, I would move under the provisions of Standing Order 43:

That this House ask the Prime Minister to intervene and correct this injustice to Miss Olson and save the integrity and respect of future Miss Dominion of Canada contests.

Mr. Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.