

• (1520)

**An hon. Member:** This afternoon.

**Some hon. Members:** Oh, oh!

**Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister):** That will be decided jointly by the Solicitor General and the Attorney General of Alberta, Mr. Speaker. They have been discussing this matter and I believe there is agreement in principle to proceed, but I am not aware of the timing which has been set for whatever measure they want to take jointly.

**Mr. Paproski:** I have a supplementary question.

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please. We hardly have time for supplementary questions, but if the hon. member wants to take the last seconds allotted his party, he may do so.

#### PLANS FOR CENTENNIAL CELEBRATION

**Mr. Steven E. Paproski (Edmonton Centre):** Mr. Speaker, I should like the Prime Minister to tell the House what special plans the government has to commemorate the one hundredth anniversary next year of the world's finest police force, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police.

**Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister):** Mr. Speaker, I am sure the Solicitor General will announce these plans in due course.

[Translation]

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. member for Joliette asked a question a moment ago, and I am under the impression that it was not heard. Perhaps he would wish to repeat it.

\* \* \*

#### FAMILY INCOME SECURITY PLAN

FAMILY ALLOWANCES—AGREEMENT BETWEEN OTTAWA AND QUEBEC—EXERCISE OF LEGISLATIVE POWER BY QUEBEC

**Mr. Roch La Salle (Joliette):** Mr. Speaker, in that case, I shall repeat my question to the Minister of National Health and Welfare.

Last Wednesday, according to a report published in *La Presse*, the Premier of Quebec stated:

—that Quebec will retain what it calls the legislative paramountcy in the administration of the federal family allowance program.

I wish to ask the minister whether negotiations between Quebec and Ottawa are completed and whether he can assure the House that Quebec will retain the legislative paramountcy in this field.

[English]

**Hon. John C. Munro (Minister of National Health and Welfare):** Mr. Speaker, as I indicated to the hon. member earlier, discussions with Quebec have not dealt with the question of legislative primacy but have been more along the lines of working out an acceptable solution to family allowances that would be in line with Quebec priorities, and at the same time, with the co-operation of the federal government through its family allowances plan, to pro-

#### Farm Credit Act

vide assistance to people most in need of it. I believe the negotiations are almost completed and I hope we can now get on with the job.

**Mr. Speaker:** Orders of the day.

**Mr. Howe:** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege. Earlier today I drew to the attention of the House the fact that there is on the order paper a motion for the production of papers in my name concerning a second international airport in Toronto. In reply to a question by the hon. member for Hamilton West, the Minister of Transport indicated that these documents are available.

I cannot understand why the motion I placed on the order paper on April 13 for these documents has not been dealt with and the documents tabled. Perhaps the minister would explain why the documents have not been produced.

**Mr. Jamieson:** Mr. Speaker, I apologize to the hon. member. I do not know why there has been a delay; there certainly should not have been. I will look into this matter right away.

#### GOVERNMENT ORDERS

##### FARM CREDIT ACT

AMENDMENTS RESPECTING LOANS AND POWERS AND CAPITAL OF CORPORATION

The House resumed, from Tuesday, May 9, consideration of the motion of Mr. Olson that Bill C-5, to amend the Farm Credit Act, be read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Agriculture, the amendment thereto of Mr. Korchinski (p. 1872) and the amendment to the amendment of Mr. Knight (p. 1903).

**Mr. J. H. Horner (Crowfoot):** Mr. Speaker, I assure the House that my remarks this afternoon will be brief. I believe that a number of points should be made before this bill is referred by the House to the committee. The amendment we have moved deals with encouraging young people to take an interest in the agricultural field.

Last evening I suggested that the Farm Credit Corporation made about 7.6 per cent of its loans to farmers in the under 25 age group. The amendment does not spell out a specific age limit but it does specify that where young farmers meet performance standards—by that we mean making the payments on their loans and meeting the other commitments in the agreements they sign—they should receive a bonus. This is certainly a worthy amendment and should have the support of the House. I hope to receive that support from the agricultural committee when the bill is considered there.

There are a number of other matters in this bill which cause me great concern. Clause 1 is of particular concern. I want to emphasize that the bill will be sent to the