

(Mr. Rose, German Democratic Republic)

Thus, a task is formulated in the Final Document which is in perfect harmony with the principle that bilateral and multilateral negotiations must complement and stimulate each other. In order for that task to be tackled in a very practical manner, it appears desirable to define, in a way acceptable to all, what we mean by "nuclear weapon". This definition would also have to cover the relevant means of delivery. What is needed, too, is to solve the problem posed by dual-capable means of delivery. In addition, the term "elimination" must be clarified. In the light of what the negotiations on a CW convention have taught us, it seems necessary to determine whether "elimination" would always be synonymous with physical liquidation or whether conversion to peaceful purposes would be possible as well.

CD/PV.406

30

Mr. MASHHADI (Islamic Republic of Iran): Mr. President, I am taking the floor on behalf of my Ambassador, who is on leave of absence, to inform the distinguished representative present here of sad news we have recently received from Tehran.

The events in question occurred last week, on the same day that our Minister of Foreign Affairs, addressing this very Conference, said:

(Cont'd)