are all discussed, and Wm. Hunter's pithy remark is quoted, "some physiologists will have it that the stomach is a mill, others, that it is a fermenting vat, others, again, that it is a stew-pan: but, in my view of the matter, it is neither a mill, a fermenting vat nor a stew-pan; but a stomach, gentlemen, a stomach."

The theory of chemical solution is accepted. This had been placed on a sound basis by the experiments of Reaumur, Spallanzani and Stevens, while the studies of Tiedemann and Gmelin and of Prout had done much to solve the problems of the chemistry of the juice. But very much uncertainty existed as to the phenomena occurring during digestion in the stomach, the precise mode of action of the juice, the nature of the juice itself and its action outside the body. On all these points the observations of Beaumont brought clearness and light where there had been previously the greatest obscurity.

The following may be regarded as the most important of the results of Beaumont's observations: First, the accuracy and completeness of description of the gastric juice itself. You will all recognize the following quotation, which has entered into the text-books and passes current to-day. "Pure gastric juice, when taken directly out of the stomach of a healthy adult, unmixed with any other fluid, save a portion of the mucus of the stomach with which it is most commonly and perhaps always combined, is a clear, transparent fluid; inodorus; a little saltish, and very perceptibly acid. Its taste, when applied to the tongue, is similar to this mucilaginous water slightly acidulated with muriatic cid. It is readily diffusible in water, wine or spirits; slightly effervesces with alkalies; and is an effectual solvent of the materia alimentaria. It possesses the property of coagulating albumen, in an eminent degree; is powerfully antiseptic, checking the putrefaction of meat; and effectually restorative of healthy action, when applied to old, foetid sores and foul, ulcerating surfaces."

Secondly, the confirmation of the observation of Prout that the important acid of the gastric juice was the muriatic or hydrochloric. An analysis of St. Martin's gastric juice were made by Dunglison, at that time a professor in the University of Virginia, and by Benjamin Silliman of Yale, both of whom determined the presence of free hydrochloric acid. A specimen was sent to the distinguished Swedish chemist, Berzelius, whose report did not arrive in time to be included in the work. In a letter dated July 19. 1834, he writes to Professor Silliman that he had not been able to make a satisfactory analysis of the juice. The letter is published in Silliman's Journal, Vol. 27, July, 1835.

Thirdly, the recognition of the fact that the essential elements of the gastric juice and the mucus were separate secretions.