gained by each member of the class fell below one-third of the maximum attainable. This method is, perhaps, the least satisfactory; for, obviously, wholesale failure in any subject might possibly be due to causes other than the intrinsic difficulty of the subject. For instance, the papers might have been over the heads of the pupils—questions "outside the syllabus" have been known to occur in our highly estcemed public examin-But no complaint of this kind was made to the examiner, and there are other possible explanations.

For instance, there are several cases in which, to satisfy the exacting demands of the British parent, two girls were working as one class in some subject not generally offered: one would be a duffer getting no marks at all, the other might do a respectable paper; but the average would fall below. Again, in nearly 33.3 per cent. every school there is one class at least with a very heavy "tail" -new-comers to the school or fresh promotions-which would weigh down the whole class; in the case before us it was noticeable that when a class failed as a whole in one subject it failed also in others. I think, therefore, that we take this method into consideration in arranging our subjects: though the others carry with them more intrinsic weight.

The following tables show respectively the figures obtained by an analysis of the mark-lists and the various subjects ranged in order of difficulty according to the various methods just now specified of inspecting the results:

TABLE I.-STATISTICS.

	Average Percentages.	SCRIPTS.			Papers.		
Subjects Examined.		Done.	Failures.	Percentage of Failures.	Set.	Failures.	Percentage of Failures,
Literature English Grammar, Composition Dictation History Geography Scripture French German Latin Arithmetic Algebra Euclid Science	58.8 52.6 61 78.7 41.6 67.4 66.5 52.9 54.2 44.3 35.3 37.5 56	132 95 111 145 159 139 146 161 39 16 159 37 25 82	22 7 1 51 11 19 23 8 6 85 16	14.2 2 '.5 37.5 53.4 43.2	20 16 17 22 24 22 24 15 9 24 11 7	000	0 25 4.5 4.5 4.1 13.3
Av's and Totals	53	1446	282	19.5	249	30	12

TABLE II.-RESULTS.

Subjects ranged in descending scale according to results as estimated by the following methods:

By Average Percentages		By Propor- tion of Unsuccess- ful Papers.	Deduced Order.
2 Composit'n 3 Literature 4 Geography 5 Scr. ture 6 Euclid* 7 German 8 French	Dictation Composit'n Geography Euclid* Literature Scripture French Sciencet German Eng. Gra. History Ladin Algebra	Dictation Composit'n Sciencet Euclid* French (Serry's) Literature Eng. Gra. German Latin History Algebra	Geogr'phy Euclid* Literature Scripture Science+ French German Eng. Gra. Latin History Algebra

\*Euclid=mainly Bookwork. †Science=Botany, or Physiology, or Mechanics.

I do not know whether this order agrees or not with any other attempts that may have been made to range the subjects of the curriculum of an ordinary secondary school in order of difficulty. But the order indicated above, as that obtained by a threefold method, bears a natural look on the face of