

Do You Need Some Wall Paper?

Clean up, cheer up and brighten up the home with new Wall Paper. The sitting-room, dining room and bedrooms will look and feel better to stay in. Borders to match any style of paper. A pleasure to show samples.

O. B. GRAVES, 222 Dundas Street.

PATENTS

P. J. EDMUNDS, Solicitor of Patents, Mechanical and Patent Law Expert.

DR. S. J. CAMPBELL, DENTIST, 436 PARK AVENUE.

W. B. LAIDLAW, Barrister, solicitor, etc. Money to loan at low rates.

Do You Fish?

Why buy trashy tackle when you can get the best so cheap at Brock's? We keep only first-class goods, and our prices are right.

W. A. BROCK, 192 Dundas St., London.

Fall Housecleaning

Will have to be done soon. Some of your rooms will need papering. All our New Designs of fall

Wall Paper

are now in. Come in and see them.

H. & C. Colerick, 434 Richmond Street.

UP TO THE TIMES.

Modern, progressive people always take advantage of modern methods. They are the successful people of the day. Among other things they use

ELECTRIC LIGHT.

which is as far ahead of all other forms of illumination as the telephone is to letters all about it, telephone 968.

London Electric Co.

There is only one tea that pleases and satisfies lovers of the fragrant cup, and that is

WallaGallaTea

Red Cross on Every Package. Sold by All Grocers.

At 40c, 50c and 60c per lb.

SPECIAL NOTICES.

Long & Dyer, Wood Finishers.—Houses and offices oil finished. All kinds of wood finishing a specialty.

STOVES, STOVES, STOVES.—Cheap Cook Stoves, Square Baseburners, Parlor Stoves, Extension Tables, Bedroom Suits, Spring and Hair Mattresses, Goose Feather Pillows, Down Cushions, made to order at the Feather Bed and Mattress Cleaning Factory, 563 Richmond Street.

Look After Your Dogs. We treat all diseases of the dog. Office, 137 King Street; residence and infirmary, corner King and Wellington streets.

We have just opened out a fine line of furniture coverings, imported direct from England. All the latest shades and designs. You should see them at Trafford's, 95 to 97, King Street.

Don't have corns on your fingers by using dull cutters when you can have them sharpened for 5 cents by PEKRY DAVID, 563 Richmond Street, two doors north of Albert.

Frank P. Sargent, grand master of the International Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen, will refuse reelection at the international convention of the brotherhood in Toronto next week.

IT'S COLD AS ICE

Refrigerator, and we keep all our goods in our coolers. If you see what you want ask for it. You place your order, be it jelly, candy, fresh chops, prime, that we can't produce for the most excellent housekeeper.

J. H. GATECLIFFE, 427 Dundas Street.

Attention!

If you have a Furnace that requires cleaning or repairing let us fix it up for you before the fall rush commences. We have good men to do this work at reasonable prices. Call, phone or drop us a card and we will do the rest.

STEVELY'S,

Richmond Street. Phone 452.

SMOKE Longfellow CIGAR—5c.

JOE NOLAN, Masonic Temple.

FAIR TODAY.. RAIN TONIGHT.

Toronto, Ont., Sept. 11, 11 p.m.—A low arch, accompanied by warm weather, is now central over Alberta, and is moving eastward. High pressure and fine weather are general throughout Ontario and Quebec.

It is also fine in the Maritime Provinces, but the pressure there is decreasing. Minimum and maximum temperatures: Victoria, 54-62; Kamloops, 54-76; Calgary, 36-50; Battleford, 40-50; Qu'Appelle, 38-58; Winnipeg, 40-52; Fort Arthur, 42-72; Toronto, 38-72; Ottawa, 38-58; Montreal, 44-64; Quebec, 38-58; Halifax, 48-60.

Probabilities—Lower lakes—Winds shifting to easterly at night; fair, with rising temperature during the day; local rains at night or Tuesday.

Local temperatures—The highest and lowest readings of the thermometer at the observatory on Saturday, Sept. 10, were 62 and 43.5 degrees; on Sunday, Sept. 11, 67 and 32.5 degrees.

Gammage & Sons Are LONDON'S FLORISTS.

Cor. Dundas and Clarence, Greenhouses opp Barracks on Oxford Street.

THE WATSON-JOHNSTON CO.

Importers of Fine Woolens 230 Dundas Street.

London Advertiser.

TELEPHONE NUMBERS: 107.....Business Offices 184.....Editorial Room 176.....Job Departments

A LOCAL BUDGET

—From all accounts the peach crop is about over.

—Mr. Robert Armstrong, of Mitchell, will shortly remove to this city.

—Mr. Joseph Gibson, of Ingersoll, will deliver an address on prohibition at Clinton this evening.

—Berlin Telegraph: Miss Grace Lang left this morning to resume her studies at the London convent.

—Miss Maudie Baxter will return to Detroit tomorrow after spending a month's vacation in this city.

—A one-minute car service has been placed on Dundas street from Richmond street to the fair grounds.

—Mr. A. R. Lewis, of Ingersoll, who has been at the general hospital here for some time, has returned home.

—Miss Jessie Whitton, for several years assistant milliner at Mr. T. F. Kingsmill's, has accepted a position at Petrolia.

—Miss Mabel Dale, of 881 Dufferin avenue, has returned after an extended visit with relatives in New York and Brooklyn.

—Miss Agge Taylor, of Palace street, who has been visiting friends in Brampton, Toronto and Niagara Falls, has returned home.

—Mrs. D. McArthur and two children, Marie and Robert, of St. Louis, Mo., are visiting Mrs. A. B. Munson, Stanley street, South London.

—Dr. Chas. S. Moore and family, who have spent the summer at their cottage, "Come Again," on Orchard beach, Port Stanley, have returned home.

—Next Sunday will be temperance Sunday at St. Andrew's Presbyterian Sabbath school. There will be an open session and an illustrated lecture on the question of abolishing the evil altogether.

—Mr. Gibson quoted Sir Oliver Mowat as saying that four-fifths of the evils of the present day were the results of the liquor traffic, and because of his

—Chatham Banner: Capt. Young, of the local corps of the Salvation Army, will leave Chatham tomorrow, and proceed to London, Adjutant Hughes, who has been on a visit to his home, returns next week.

—Rev. Mr. Martin, of Guelph, will preach at St. Andrew's Church on Sunday, Sept. 25, and Rev. Robert Johnston will deliver an anniversary sermon at Knox Church, Guelph. This will be children's day at St. Andrew's, and special services will be held.

—St. Thomas Times: On Sunday morning last the superintendent of the Sunday school of St. John's church read a letter from one of the lady cottagers on Fraser Heights, containing a donation of \$2.50 from the London children summing there, towards the funds. This was in addition to a sum of \$13 handed in by the same parties towards Rev. Mr. Steeles' stipend.

BOARD OF HEALTH.

A meeting of the London Board of Health was held Saturday night, when the Medical Health Officer, Hutchinson, submitted a report upon the rag and bone nuisance discussed at a previous meeting. It was decided to have a code of regulations to govern these places drawn up by Dr. Hutchinson and Chairman Taylor. The state of Market lane caused considerable discussion. Mr. Pritchard expressed the opinion that the whole market, with the condition of the streets, sidewalks and the approaches to it, was a disgrace to the city. It was decided to

Twenty-Five-Cent Neckwear...

That looks like half-dollar stuff. Just as good style. Just as nice patterns and colors. It's a special line we got to sell at Twenty-five cents this week. It's worth coming to our window to see.

BOUGHNER.

Anderson's--The Fair.

Genuine Bargains

Basketfuls of Purses, choice for 5c and 10c. Fancy Articles, 25c to 50c, choice for 15c. Fancy Articles, 50c and upwards, choice for 25c.

See the Beautiful Writing Pads, for 5c and 10c. Beautiful Bound Books, \$1.50, choice for 81c. Beautiful Bound Books, 75c, choice for 50c. Picture Books and Games for the youngsters, at all prices.

183 Dundas Street.

PROHIBITION PRESENTED

In a Strong Light by Able Advocates

Under the Auspices of the London Plebiscite Association.

Three Different Meetings - Addresses by Mr. Joseph Gibson, of Ingersoll, Mrs. May Thornley and Other Local Speakers.

AT THE AUDITORIUM.

About 300 people gathered at the Auditorium yesterday afternoon, where a most enthusiastic temperance meeting was held under the auspices of the London Plebiscite Association in the interest of prohibition.

Rev. Dr. Smith, of the First Methodist Church, presided, and in a few brief remarks introduced Mr. Joseph Gibson, postmaster, of Ingersoll, one of the best known temperance advocates in Ontario, who came to the city Saturday for the special purpose of delivering an address on the question of prohibition.

The speaker, in his introductory remarks, spoke of the responsibility he felt, and of the responsibility which rested upon the shoulders of every true man. It was, he said, an opportunity that occurred only once in a lifetime, and if intelligent men did not accept this chance of placing themselves on an evil, and if they were opposed to the sale of liquor they should not license the business. Mr. Gibson pointed out that it had been admitted to an evil, and quoted Bishop Dumoulin, of Hamilton, who was opposed to prohibition, because he (the bishop) claimed the man's right to sell liquor, and the individual, the speaker gave examples of the evils of the traffic, citing the case of two men who had been born a bottle of whisky with which to celebrate a holiday in the woods, one of whom was the next day found and a fence corner, having died from its effects. As a proof that it was an evil 4,000 people had passed through the Police Court, Toronto, last year, and three-fourths of these were drunks. The best proof of all was that the government of this Dominion was willing to expend a quarter of a million dollars to ascertain the feeling of the people on the question of abolishing the evil altogether.

Mr. Gibson quoted Sir Oliver Mowat as saying that four-fifths of the evils of the present day were the results of the liquor traffic, and because of his

BILIOUS

"Last summer I was troubled with Sick Headache and Biliousness, and could not sleep at night. I tried several doctors but to no effect, and got completely discouraged. At last I saw an advertisement telling about Burdock Blood Bitters. My husband induced me to try it, and today I am using the SPELLS third bottle, and can truly say it has done me a wonderful amount of good. I feel better than I have for years, and am confident I owe my restored health to B. B. B." MRS. EDWARD BECK, Riverside, N.B.

B.B.B. is the best remedy for Biliousness, Constipation, Sick Headaches, Coated Tongue, Liver Complaint, Jaundice, Scrofula, Blood Humors, and all Diseases of the Stomach, Liver, Kidneys and Bowels.

Burdock Blood Bitters

THE SOUTH LONDON GATHERING

Arranging the interests of prohibition was held yesterday evening in the grove at Maryboro Place, South London, where the audience stood under the stars. The Rev. Dr. Smith, of the First Methodist Church, presided, and in a few brief remarks introduced Mr. Joseph Gibson, postmaster, of Ingersoll, one of the best known temperance advocates in Ontario, who came to the city Saturday for the special purpose of delivering an address on the question of prohibition.

The speaker, in his introductory remarks, spoke of the responsibility he felt, and of the responsibility which rested upon the shoulders of every true man. It was, he said, an opportunity that occurred only once in a lifetime, and if intelligent men did not accept this chance of placing themselves on an evil, and if they were opposed to the sale of liquor they should not license the business. Mr. Gibson pointed out that it had been admitted to an evil, and quoted Bishop Dumoulin, of Hamilton, who was opposed to prohibition, because he (the bishop) claimed the man's right to sell liquor, and the individual, the speaker gave examples of the evils of the traffic, citing the case of two men who had been born a bottle of whisky with which to celebrate a holiday in the woods, one of whom was the next day found and a fence corner, having died from its effects. As a proof that it was an evil 4,000 people had passed through the Police Court, Toronto, last year, and three-fourths of these were drunks. The best proof of all was that the government of this Dominion was willing to expend a quarter of a million dollars to ascertain the feeling of the people on the question of abolishing the evil altogether.

Mr. Gibson quoted Sir Oliver Mowat as saying that four-fifths of the evils of the present day were the results of the liquor traffic, and because of his

THE CALL FROM TORONTO

First Presbyterians Strongly Disinclined to Lose Their Minister.

The call from Toronto to Rev. W. J. Clark has strongly stirred the congregation of the First Presbyterian Church, London, at the close of the service last night. Dr. Hodge, on behalf of the session, called forward, requesting the congregation to remain behind after Mr. Clark had withdrawn. The matter of Mr. Clark's call to the First Presbyterian Church, Toronto, was then taken up, when Mr. John Anderson moved, and Mr. Robert Reid seconded, the following resolution: "That this congregation do pass a vote of thanks to Rev. W. J. Clark, of their great appreciation of his services, and to assure him also of their high respect and steadfast affection. They recall with thankfulness the marked progress made by the church in every respect under his pastorate. They realize the spiritual helpfulness of his pulpit ministrations, and they hereby place on record their most earnest desire that the present provisional relation between Mr. Clark and this congregation may long remain unbroken."

The resolution was carried unanimously by a standing vote, after further remarks from Messrs. Henderson, Coon, Greenlees and John Cameron.

A large deputation was appointed and proceeded at once to Rev. Mr. Clark's residence, where the resolution was presented to him. Mr. Clark will give his decision on Sunday next, at the morning service.

The Presbyterian Review of last week contains a sketch of Mr. Clark, which begins by saying: "The Rev. W. J. Clark, of First Church, London, stands easily among the first of younger Canadian preachers."

A GIANTIC EVIL

and no one dared deny that it was so, said that liquor had been the subject of legislation for some 200 or 400 years. Some of this legislation had been useful, and had been in London some 10 years, and could not count two saloon men who had given up their calling by seeing the evil of the liquor traffic. Not every ten persons regarded the liquor traffic as

He opened by telling of the distribution of a printed card by the liquor traffic, and the speaker said that he could not see things in their true light in this great question, and is not a safe man to follow," said the reverend speaker. "Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the speech of the Hon. Joseph Howe, and it was a beautiful thing. Those who read this address were carried away with its eloquence. Can you, as sensible people, be allured by this? Take, for instance, some of his arguments: He says water is a good thing—I didn't think our opponents would agree at this juncture—but thousands of people are destroyed as well as property by it, and asks us if we would have it abolished because of this. Then he says the same of fire, which ravages many homes and destroys many people. Further, he says, 'Some days ago a beautiful tract was left at my door. This tract contained the