

advocate and support their September statements, he would have it both ways.

MR. LYONS asked if there was any need to have it both ways?

MR. SAVAGE said he would not press for both. On the whole, of the two methods, he would prefer the footnote.

MR. EDEN also preferred the idea of a footnote. He did not want anyone to be able to say that His Majesty's Government in the United Kingdom had altered their views. He read the draft of a footnote.

MR. SAVAGE attached importance to including his phrase "advocate and support its statement of policy".

GENERAL HERTZOG was not sure that he wanted to repeat the statement made in September on behalf of the Union of South Africa at Geneva under present conditions.

MR. EDEN pointed out that his formula only suggested to "reserve the right".

GENERAL HERTZOG agreed.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN then suggested the following formula as a footnote to the second paragraph:-

"It was understood and agreed that nothing in this statement should be held to diminish the right of His Majesty's Governments in the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and India to advocate and support their statements of policy as submitted to the Assembly of the League of Nations in September, 1936."

MR. CASEY asked if the inclusion of this footnote would not be regarded as rather mysterious to the world at large.

MR. LYONS did not think the footnote was really necessary but did not press his objection.