

# CONTENTS.

---

## Water vs. Rail Transportation in Canada:

Page.

1. Relative Cheapness of Rail & Water Carriage..... 1.
2. The Commanding Position of Canadian Railways..... 2.
3. The Opposition of the Railways to Water Transportation 2.

## Waterways West of Lake Superior:

4. Sir Robert Perks on Their Inadequate Development 3.
5. Opinions of Professors Willmott and Coleman of Toronto 3.
6. Efforts and Cost of Development..... 4.
7. Not a New Proposal. Opinion of Sir Wilfrid Laurier 5.

## The St. Lawrence-Welland Deep Waterway:

8. Its Feasibility. Opinions of John Kennedy, C. E., of Montreal, and of Gen. W. H. Bixby, Chief of the U.S. Army Engineers..... 5.
9. Hon. Chas. E. Townsend, Chairman of the Senate Committee of Coast and Insular Survey, declares the Deep Waterway a Greater Proposition than the Panama Canal. Advantage in Reduction of Freight Rates.... 6.
10. A Counter Move to the Panama Canal. Opinion of the late President C. M. Hays ..... 6.
11. The Ease of the St. Lawrence Development..... 7.
12. The Merits of the Deep Waterway are Acknowledged even by the Opposing Organization of Georgian Bay Promoters (the so called "Canadian Federation of Boards of Trade and Municipalities")..... 7.
13. Not an "International Convenience" but a Canadian National Necessity..... 8.

## The Georgian Bay Project and Its Support:

14. The Contractors' Campaign and its Local Support.... 9.
15. The Contention of the Waterways Union..... 9.
16. Bolstering Up a Weak Cause..... 10.
17. Attempts of North Bay and Temiskaming Districts to Impose on the Remainder of Northern Ontario..... 11.
18. The Advantage of a Deep Water Harbor on Georgian Bay over a 22 Foot Development on French River to North Bay..... 12.
19. Increase and Uncertainty of Estimated Cost..... 13.
20. Misuse of American Editorials..... 13.