

Lib

that the committee had no power to compel their answer to these questions, and we have as yet no legal evidence to shew who got the difference from the amount which really reached the contractors hands, to the £50,000 which was issued on the 26th November, and which is called £10,000.

The report which was really adopted by the committee left us where we were—the report which was improperly substituted, kept back a material part of the conclusions arrived at, the one sided report of Alderman Gowan so outrageously foisted on the public as the opinion of the Council is calculated, and we hesitate not to say was intended to mislead the public on the whole transaction, whilst the letter of the Mayor in answer to the three questions is as yet the strongest evidence against him.

The citizens, however, will not be baffled by quibbles, and they are resolved that the Court of Chancery shall vindicate the character of their City and their Chief Magistrate if it can be done, and that they shall know who are the parties that netted the £10,000 upon this strange and mysterious transaction.

---