as the apostle puts it, simply "holding the truth in unrighteous-

ness," and for which she is, and will be forever, judged—"the words that I have spoken unto you, the same" (not your traditions) Christ said, "shall judge you in the last day." I will add, that not only are nearly the whole of its funda-

I will add, that not only are nearly the whole of its fundamental and general doctrinal teachings anti-Scriptural, and therefore damning, but that both the spirit and the letter of the hierarchy's very worst deeds of blood and persecution still exist in its creed and canons. And this spirit has been practically exemplified of late years in Chiniquy's persecution and that of others. Witness also the late riot and scandalous disturbance of a Protestant lady evangelist in Huntingdon, near Ottawa, and almost under the very eyes of the Dominion Parliament! Aye, and they would persecute Paul himself for worshipping and daring to serve God "after the way which they call heresy"—if they could ! (Acts 24: 14.) And even St. Peter, the "heretical" Peter. as gauged by the Romish standard of doctrine, if brought within their power, would be no exception, as the work of his life and the anti-Romish teaching of his epistles demonstrate. The Pope and Poperny are on a par in this respect with Mohammed and Mohammedanism.

A wolf has no legal right in a sheepfold; nor should a "Catholic" creed in a Dominion school.

This huge, worldwide body of churchly corruption is, I believe, fated to destruction. Even its empire capital, Rome, under the name of wicked Babylon (which Romanist divines admit is figuratively applied to it,) is destined, if the prediction be rightly applied, to be utterly burned with fire. And God's people therein, whom Protestant missionaries have been instrumental in converting, are called upon to come out of her, (Rev. 18: 4) as were some of the Jews from Jerusalem prior to its destruction. It should further be discountenanced, frowned upon, held in cheek, and as far as possible suppressed in whatever guise its principles and teachings appear; as, e. g., in modern ritualistic high churchism, where the damnable principles of heretical, intolerant, and muderous Romanism are being revived, disseminated and extended in all their pristine fatality of idolatrous inculcation and deadliness of intolerant design !

The foregoing, Sir, are among the reasons why we are opposed to Roman Catholic Separate Schools, why every Protestant in the country should be opposed to them, and why also every Protestant civil power in the world should oppose them, as being a training institution not simply to doctrinally daum the people, but, to the extent that such distinctive churchly doctrines are taught in them, to incite to treason, culminating ultimately in anarchy and inevitable war; as they are utterly and implacably inimical in their churchly canons and teachings to our Protestant religion and our Protestant government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Yours in Protestant Consistency, E. STEPHENS.

Sir Oliver Mowat, Toronto, Ont.

but en. ild, it. the lled

mes

ant ody ions ery, oope eniand curheir hich

e of

nanleed? 's by rthelimb nism they conis ald the Lords ' will

nolic. le in and ually spee any t the states in orching pired t, the ss. 2: e the ham-