

GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE—CHANCERY AUTUMN CIRCUITS—APPOINTMENTS TO OFFICE.

I wish to call your attention to the want of a tariff for guardians under the Insolvent Act; as the law now stands, when an assignee is appointed it sometimes happens that the guardian is deprived of all power of collecting from him, not only his equitable claim for his time and trouble, but even the money he has been compelled to advance in travelling to and fro, and having the property taken care of. Some such table as the following, would, I conceive, be equitable:

Taking care of assets—per day—	
where assets of estate \$500	
and less.....	\$1 00
Over \$500 and not over \$1,000..	2 00
Over \$1,000 and not over \$5,000.	3 00
Over \$5,000 and not over \$10,000.	4 00
All over \$10,000.....	5 00

All disbursements to be allowed in addition.

Taking into consideration the fact that the guardian has great responsibility in taking charge of the estate, I think the fees are not at all beyond what they should be.

Yours, &c.,

Brockville, Aug. 13, '67. ST. LAWRENCE.

[1. That may be, but even so, is the Crown bound or would it recognise an assignment in such case?

2. Before committing ourselves to these figures, we should like to hear from others who are *au fait* with these matters. — Eds. L. J.]

Miss Longworth's final appeal to the House of Lords was on Tuesday last dismissed. The Lord Chancellor delivered judgment at considerable length, Lord Cranworth signified his concurrence with the decision in fewer words, and Lord Colonsay did little more than barely express his acquiescence. Lord Westbury, who was present, said he had not intended to give any vote; he had been absent during the argument in consequence of a domestic affliction. He had, however, heard the appellants address, and would have striven to attend during the rest of the argument had he felt any reasonable ground for believing that the appeal could be sustained. Miss Longworth now petitions the House of Lords, stating the composition of the Court which sat on her appeal, and the withdrawal of Lord Westbury and proceeds to say that Lord Colonsay, having been one of the judges of the Court which gave the decision appealed from, ought not to have sat to hear an appeal from his own decision. There being but two other judges left, Miss Longworth submits that the Court was not properly constituted according to the practice and requirements of Parliament, and prays to have her appeal re-argued.

CHANCERY AUTUMN CIRCUITS—1867.

THE HON. VICE-CHANCELLOR SPRAGGE.

Toronto.....Tu.-sday3rd September.

EASTERN CIRCUIT.

THE HON. VICE-CHANCELLOR MOWAT.

OttawaFriday13th September.

CornwallTues.day.....17th "

Brockville.....Tues.day.....24th "

Kingston.....Thurs.day.....26th "

BellevilleTuesday..... 1st October.

Peterboro'.....Tuesday..... 8th "

Lindsay.....Thurs.day.....10th "

WESTERN CIRCUIT.

THE HON. VICE-CHANCELLOR SPRAGGE.

SimcoeTuesday.....24th September.

WoodstockFriday27th "

GoderichThurs.day..... 3rd October.

StrafrodMonday..... 7th "

Sarnia.....Thurs.day.....10th "

Sandwich.....Monday.....14th "

ChathamThurs.day.....17th "

LondonTuesday.....22nd "

HOME CIRCUIT.

THE HON. THE CHANCELLOR.

Owen Sound.....Thurs.day... 10th October.

BarrieMonday14th "

St. CatharinesFriday18th "

Brantford.....Tuesday.....22nd "

GuelphFriday25th "

Hamilton.....Thurs.day...31st "

WhitbyFriday 8th November.

CobourgThurs.day...14th "

By the Court.

A. GRANT,
Registrar.

APPOINTMENTS TO OFFICE.

COUNTY JUDGES.

HERBERT STONE McDONALD, of Osgoode Hall, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, to be Deputy Judge of the County Court, in and for the United Counties of Leeds and Grenville.—(Gazetted 24th August, 1867.)

SHERIFFS.

WILLIAM FERGUSON, Esq., to be Sheriff of the County of Frontenac, in the room of Thomas A. Corbett, Esquire, resigned.—(Gazetted 17th August, 1867.)

TO CORRESPONDENTS.

"ST. LAWRENCE."—Under "General Correspondence."