

Sir Arthur Currie, 2.

will
~~would~~ be no necessity to guard the entrances in the new building as it is at present in the old one. If students are careless enough to leave their books or clothes about the halls they must be personally responsible. I see no reason, therefore, why the halls of the new building should not be as free and open to everybody as the campus itself.

I am also very much in favour of retaining the proportions of the present portico at the main entrance. After all, this is a portico and not a colonnade and I do not see, therefore, why it could not be retained without seriously spoiling the architectural result. Obviously, however, this part of the structure will have to be rebuilt and a proper pediment substituted for the present one, which is really only the remains of the original balcony which was torn down about 1876.

The interior of the present plans is, I think, entirely satisfactory. I explained the plans fully to the Faculty in full session at the last meeting and they were all very much pleased with them indeed. In any case I am convinced personally that the arrangement of space will provide the maximum of convenience and utility in a very minimum of cubic contents and, therefore, with the minimum of building costs. The only part of the plan which could in any way be called a luxury is the stage in the Moyse Hall, but I know that it would be a great disappointment to every one in the Faculty and many others interested if this feature were omitted.

I understand that the plans are to be brought