

Inquiries of the Ministry

Lafontaine	Pommer
Lapointe	Power (Quebec South)
Lavigne	Proudford
Leduc (Gatineau)	Prudham
Leduc (Jacques Cartier-Lasalle)	Purdy
Leduc (Verdun)	Reinke
Lefrançois	Richard (Ottawa East)
Legare	Richard (St. Maurice-Lafleche)
Lesage	Roberge
Lusby	Robertson
MacDougall	Robichaud
MacEachen	Robinson (Simcoe East)
MacKenzie	St. Laurent (Quebec East)
MacNaught	St. Laurent (Temiscouata)
McCann	Schneider
McCubbin	Simmons
McCulloch (Pictou)	Sinclair
McIvor	Smith (York North)
McMillan	Stick
McWilliam	Stuart (Charlotte)
Mang	Studer
Marler	Thibault
Martin	Tucker
Masse	Valois
Menard	Viau
Meunier	Villeneuve
Michaud	Weaver
Mitchell (Sudbury)	Weir
Monette	Weselak
Murphy (Westmorland)	White (Waterloo South)
Pearson	Winters—134.
Philpott	
Pickersgill	
Pinard	

Bryson) asked two questions, of which one was answered but the second was not. It reads as follows:

Is it true that further supplies of Salk vaccine to Saskatchewan have been postponed indefinitely?

The answer to that question is no. Arrangements for the supply and delivery of the vaccine are worked out between the various provincial governments and the manufacturer, Connaught medical research laboratories. The federal government contributes 50 per cent of the cost of all vaccine purchased by the provinces and all provinces are treated alike both in regard to payment for the vaccine and its distribution.

Connaught laboratories, now the sole Canadian producer, have already made three shipments of vaccine totalling some one million doses, of which Saskatchewan has received its proportionate share. Further shipments will be made as additional lots are released for delivery following the extensive testing for safety and potency which is carried out both at the producing laboratory in Toronto and at the federal laboratory of hygiene here in Ottawa. All provinces are receiving equal treatment and will continue to share equitably all supplies of vaccine available for use in Canada this year.

PASSAMAQUODDY PROJECT—ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY SURVEY

Motion No. 70—Mr. Brooks:

For a copy of all letters, telegrams, memoranda and other documents exchanged during the past three years between any department or officials of the federal government and the international joint commission, the government of the United States of America, and any other government or organization or individuals, relating to a survey or surveys of the economic feasibility of the development of the Passamaquoddy tidal power project.

Mr. Pearson: I wonder whether my hon. friend would allow this motion to stand until I have a chance to discuss it with him.

Mr. Brooks: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: Motion stands.

BLOOMFIELD R.R. NO. 1, KINGS COUNTY, N.B.

Motion No. 71—Mr. Brooks:

For a copy of all letters, telegrams, memoranda and other documents exchanged during the past two years between the Postmaster General or any officials of his department and any organizations or individuals, relating to the extension of the Bloomfield rural route No. 1, Kings county, New Brunswick.

POLIOMYELITIS

SALK VACCINE—STATEMENT AS TO DISTRIBUTION

On the orders of the day:

Hon. Paul Martin (Minister of National Health and Welfare): Mr. Speaker, yesterday the hon. member for Humboldt-Melfort (Mr.

COMBINES INVESTIGATION ACT

MANITOBA—ALLEGED RESTRICTIVE SALES AGREEMENTS AMONG BREWERIES

On the orders of the day:

Mr. F. S. Zaplitny (Dauphin): Mr. Speaker, may I direct a question to the Minister of Justice arising out of the answer to the question which I addressed to him yesterday with respect to the Manitoba breweries? The answer he gave yesterday was appreciated and it was interesting but it was not a reply to my question. Perhaps I may be permitted to repeat the question at this time. The question was this. In view of the recent disclosure by a representative of the Manitoba breweries that restrictive sales agreements have been in effect among the Manitoba breweries since 1936, is it the intention of the minister to order a further investigation into the restrictive trade practices of Manitoba breweries?

Hon. Stuart S. Garson (Minister of Justice): Mr. Speaker, I think that my reply, if my hon. friend understood it correctly, was—as it is in fact—an answer to his question because the restrictive agreements to which he refers in his question and those to which I referred in my reply I apprehend are the same agreements.