

OR NASH DROWNED

of the Calcutta Unit-
y. Falls Overboard
and is Drowned.

Holiday Trip to the Old
-His Wife Pros-
trated by Grief.

sh, professor of mathematics
at government university at
they were drowned from the
city of Topeka last Monday
Wright's sound, near Point
the eyes of his wife, who
rall with him when he went
The case takes on the
ystery, as it is claimed by
a steamer that a short time
fatal plunge Nash repulsed
attempts to place her arms
but on the other hand, the
e friend of Mrs. Nash says
eaved woman feels that the
accidental. Whether it was
cident, it is true that Prof.
everything to live for and at-
a suicidal mania. He had
ed in educational work for
it is said that his name is
several important works on
While at Calcutta he
deal of original work, and
steward to put this matter in
during the coming year
his wife were visiting at
home in Reading, England.
h was a very short and
man, aged 43 years, English
and educated at Oxford.
ago the English govern-
ment leave of absence, and it
d to make an extended plea-
the country before arriv-
of his childhood, Reading,
here he and his wife were
year. They left Calcutta
ago, and visited the Orient.
they went to San Francisco
orth to Topeka, where they
emer City of Topeka two
or Alaska, having purchased
tickets.

spent a good deal of his
the deck. Shortly before
was joined in his walk by
They walked up and down
a short time and then stop-
midships on the port side
aned over the railing, engag-
conversation.
without warning of any
Nash tipped forward and as
he up in the air he gave a
and slid downward toward
ing water. Mrs. Nash made
ts to hold her husband's
went over the railing, but
successful and saw the body
the depths below. In the
grief that followed this
the poor woman shrieked
fell on her knees in suppli-
the meantime Nick Pankon,
who had witnessed the
forward and gave the alarm
verboard."

allance had the steamer
und as quickly as possible
was lowered. It was not
not a trace of the lost man-
bund. It is probable that
Linn was the last to see the
man alive, for he was at
the boat on the same deck
the drowning man, but it
heard the cries of the be-
and the alarmed deck-
ked up and saw Prof. Nash
the waves in the wake of the
stern. A moment later
e was lost to sight in a
e. The place was of course com-
pelled the voyage, and arrived
erday morning at 11 o'clock.

LED BY A TRAIN.

Philips Run Over and Instant-
ly on the E. & N. Railway.

year-old son of Mr. and Mrs.
McKenzie's Pit, on the E.
y, was run over by the south-
at noon to-day and instant-
Mr. Philips is an employee of
and lives with his family in
wards from the track. The
he victim of the accident, was
he track when the train
ching and not knowing the
pe on the track. The al-
s immediately rushed to
e late, the child falling un-
catcher and the front wheel
otive passing over its thigh,
e intestines. The mother
were in the cabin and hearing
ies being applied rushed to
time to see the danger ther-
in, but too late to save it
reached the track the child
The mother was prostrated

ent occupying such a short
e there was sure to be some
of opinion as to how it occur-
ne attach any blame to Eu-
ne, who was in charge of the
re are some who think that
as drawn under the wheels
ght from the train.
will probably be brought to
this evening's train and an
to-morrow.

ngers on the noon train drew
ed a letter of condolence,
be forwarded to the bereaved

Hood's Pills.

Sarsaparilla—it expels bad hu-
mor. A battle for
at Hood's Sarsaparilla vigor-
as always victorious in ex-
cels and giving the vital fluid
and quantity of perfect health.
ofola, salt rheum, boils and
diseases.

ILLS act easily, yet promptly
y on the bowels and liver. 25c.

A DAY IN THE SENATE.

Senator McInnes on the Affairs
of the New Westminster
Penitentiary.

Premier Bowell's Answers in Re-
spect of Proposed Railway
Subsidies.

Following is the report of the recent
senate discussion in reference to the New
Westminster penitentiary and the rail-
way subsidies:

Hon. Mr. McInnes (B. C.)—Before the
orders of the day are proceeded with, I
desire to address the house on a ques-
tion of privilege. I wish to call the at-
tention of the senate to a letter which
appeared in the Ottawa Citizen of last
Thursday. It is as follows:

B. C. PENITENTIARY.

"Editor Citizen: In the first paragraph
published on this institution in this morn-
ing's issue of the Citizen, the following
is quoted from my annual report to the
minister of justice: 'The subsequent
career of many of the witnesses, notably
of the accountant, and storekeeper (a
nephew of Senator McInnes, of British
Columbia) etc.' This is an error. It is
not W. H. Keary, the dismissed ac-
countant, but Thomas McInnes, late
steward, and now a refugee in the
United States, who is nephew to the
polished and amiable senator referred to."
The two quotations in the third para-
graph appear to involve a contradiction.
I said, 'As might be expected, the peni-
tentiary was deteriorating these last two
years.' And again, 'My inspection of
this institution not having been made
since October in 1892, I have no infor-
mation to give regarding its administra-
tion.' This means information derived
from my own personal knowledge and ob-
servation, but in my official capacity as
inspector I had other sources of in-
formation, even from the acting warden,
which enabled me to form an opinion as
to the incompetency and shortcoming of
the present administration of the peni-
tentiary. Hence the two statements
can be reconciled as facts without being
a "filing." Yours truly,
J. G. MOYLAN.

"Ottawa, May 22."
With respect to the first part of that
letter, I may say that I had a nephew
in the position of steward in the British
Columbia penitentiary for 12 or 14 years.
During the investigation held last year,
under a royal commission appointed by
the federal government to investigate
the irregularities in that institution, not
one fact came out which would connect
him with any wrongdoing in that insti-
tution. I have not seen the report of the
commissioner, Mr. Justice Drake, since
it was brought down in the other house,
but I am aware that there was nothing
in the evidence to show that he was in
any way whatever connected with any-
thing dishonorable or discreditable to
himself or to anybody belonging to him.
It is true that the accountant was dis-
charged at the same time that the war-
den and deputy warden were discharged
in October last, but my nephew was not
discharged. He continued to perform
his duties as steward of that institution
until October last, when, of his own free-
will and accord, he resigned the position
and went to live in the United States. To
say that he was a refugee in the United
States is an unqualified falsehood, and I
am forced to state that this man Mo-
ylan, when he wrote those words, knew
that he was writing that which was not
true. With respect to the account-
ant, whose character Mr. Moylan wishes to
impair, I may say that he has been
placed in the position of alderman in the city
of New Westminster for a great many
years and is a man who is respected gen-
erally in that place. His bare word, as
well as that of my nephew and of nearly
every person who gave evidence on that
occasion, would be taken in preference
to the sworn statement of Penitentiaries,
either. I do not intend to analyse that
report. I shall wait until all the papers
are before the house, when every mem-
ber of the senate will be able to see for
himself that I was right when a number
of years ago I called attention to grave
irregularities in the penitentiary. The
investigation proved a great deal more
than I even insinuated on that occasion,
but I want to call the attention of the
house to this fact, that ever since I made
those statements on the floor of the sen-
ate this man Moylan has on every occa-
sion that presented itself not only insult-
ed me but other members of this house,
and that too under the authority of the
government in his annual report. I
would call the attention of the hon.
premier to the fact that there was no
necessity whatever for Mr. Moylan, the
late inspector, to announce the fact in
his report that this Thomas A. McInnes
was a nephew of mine. I am not asham-
ed of that nephew, but I would ask, in
all fairness, am I to be held responsible
for the actions of a nephew, or even of
a much nearer relative? Would any hon.
gentleman here be held responsible for
the actions of their friends, however near-
and dear they may be to them, so long as
those friends are not under their control
or influence, and are of the full age of
responsibility? This was a gratuitous
insult, not only to me individually, but
to every member occupying a seat in this
house.

Hon. Mr. Kaubach—I would ask my
hon. friend and the house whether, on
a question of privilege, the hon. gentleman
can make a speech reflecting upon the
character of a gentleman of intelligence
and integrity, who is well known in the
community and to the public at large?
I do not think he can do this simply on
a letter found in a newspaper.
Hon. Mr. Miller—The point is, whether
when a writer in a newspaper, or the
newspaper itself, calls a senator's
nephew a fugitive from justice, the sen-
ator can claim the right, as a question
of privilege, to defend his nephew, and

attack the newspaper writer. He cer-
tainly cannot.

Hon. Mr. McInnes—Before a decision
is given on the point that has been raised,
I wish to call the attention of the
hon. gentleman who has raised this ques-
tion of order, to the fact that on two
different occasions, to my personal knowl-
edge, a question has been brought up in
precisely the same way as I have
brought this up. When the hon. gentle-
man from Delanauere was attacked,
by this impudent fellow Moylan, I
brought it up myself, and it was discuss-
ed here for some two or three hours—the
whole of one afternoon—by more than a
dozen hon. gentlemen on the floor of this
house.

Hon. Mr. Miller—If the hon. gentle-
man himself were attacked he would
have a right to defend himself if it came
under the class of privilege, but we have
no right to take advantage of our seats
in this house to defend anybody but our-
selves on a question of privilege.

Hon. Mr. McInnes—That is precisely
what I am doing.

Hon. Mr. MacDonald (B. C.)—The hon.
member for Richmond will see this as
a government official attacks a member of
this house and tries to connect his name
with a criminal, a person whose word is
alleged to be unreliable, and this house
ought to give every liberty and facility
that hon. gentleman to clear his own
character, and if he attacks Mr. Mo-
ylan for going out of his way to put his
name in a report where it should not be,
Mr. Moylan deserves all the lashing this
house can give him.

Hon. Mr. Miller—I am not here as the
advocate of Mr. Moylan. I am not ex-
pressing approval of anything that Mr.
Moylan has done. I have not read his
letter. I am not prepared to say what
judgment I would pass upon it if I had
read it. But the point to which I wish
to call the attention of this house is this,
that I do not think it is within the scope
of the question of privilege for a mem-
ber to attempt to defend any one but
himself.

Hon. Mr. McInnes—I quite agree with
what the hon. gentleman states, but I
the hon. gentleman paid attention to the
letter when I read it, he would see that
I am directly attacked through the me-
dium of my nephew.

Hon. Mr. Bellerose—Hear, hear.

Hon. Mr. McInnes—The letter reads:
"This is an error. It was not W. H.
Keary, the dismissed accountant, but
Thomas McInnes, late steward, and now
a refugee in the United States, who is
a nephew to the polished and amiable
senator referred to."

Hon. Mr. Angers—That is not an at-
tack.

Hon. Mr. McInnes (B. C.)—It is an im-
plied slander. There is no question about it.

Hon. Mr. Miller—It may be that—on
the nephew.

Hon. Mr. McInnes—I am not prepared
to go on to debate this question of pri-
vilege, but I do claim that I am doing
the duty of every member of this
honorable house when he is attacked, es-
pecially by a civil servant, or a person in
a still worse position, a man who has
been superannuated, and is living on the
taxpayers of this country. In any place
in the world, ever since I was a boy, I call
attention to scandals in a public in-
stitution and my statements were sub-
sequently proved to be all true. If I
can be attacked with impunity by the
man who should have prevented these ir-
regularities, I think that he has failed
very low indeed if it will not de-
fend itself. This Mr. Moylan is an out-
sider. Within the last six years he has
been called to account for similar
misconduct no less than twice to my per-
sonal knowledge. During the incumben-
cy of the late premier Sir John Abbott,
Mr. Moylan made an unjustifiable attack
on the hon. member for Delanauere in
his annual report. He was brought to
task for it, censured and punished. Even
the \$500 that was placed in the estimat-
e for an increase of his salary was with-
drawn that occasion, and he was then
cautioned, not only by the late Sir John
Thompson, but also by the late Sir John
Abbott, that if he should be found guilty
of any such offence again he would
be suspended or severely dealt with. Two
years after that he attacked me in his
report, and that attack appeared in the
annual report of the minister of jus-
tice. It was done without the knowledge
of the minister or the deputy minister
of justice. Mr. Moylan only showed it
to the private secretary, and that of the
hon. gentleman's suggestion, in in-
sulting me, and the house will see
that the reference to the hon. gentleman
was altogether unnecessary. The inspec-
tor says in his report: "The subsequent
career of many of these witnesses, not-
ably the accountant and storekeeper,"
and then he puts in parenthesis, "nephew
of Senator McInnes of B. C."

Hon. Mr. MacDonald (B. C.)—That is
the third offence of the same kind.

Hon. Mr. Mackenzie Bowell—Now that
the hon. gentleman has called attention
to it, I remember that Mr. Moylan was
taken to task for a similar offence be-
fore, but I can only say, so far as the
minister of justice is concerned, that he
was not aware that it was published un-
til his attention was called to it by the
questions on the paper. How far the
hon. gentleman's suggestion, in in-
sulting me, and the house will see
that the reference to the hon. gentleman
was altogether unnecessary. The inspec-
tor says in his report: "The subsequent
career of many of these witnesses, not-
ably the accountant and storekeeper,"
and then he puts in parenthesis, "nephew
of Senator McInnes of B. C."

Hon. Mr. MacDonald (B. C.)—Not or
pensioners of the government.

Hon. Mr. Mackenzie Bowell—We have
nothing to do with the pensioners of the
governments.

Hon. Mr. McInnes—Withhold their
pensions.

Hon. Mr. Mackenzie Bowell—There is

no law which would justify the govern-
ment in doing so. If you think the of-
fence is so grave as to justify that, it is
a matter which we can consider in the
future.

Hon. Mr. McInnes inquired—
"Is it the intention of the government
to reappoint Arthur McBride, late war-
den, and William Keary, late accountant,
to the wardenship and accountantship,
respectively, of the New Westminster
penitentiary? If not, why not?"

He said: The reason why I ask the last
question "If not, why not?" is this—it
was proven during the investigation that
both the warden and the accountant
were merely carrying out the instructions
given them by the deputy warden and
the inspector of penitentiaries—that the
warden was a mere figurehead—placed in
that unfortunate position by the inspec-
tor, and had to carry out the instructions
given him by the deputy. Of the three
men the guilty person was reappointed,
while the comparatively innocent man
were not reappointed.

Hon. Sir Mackenzie Bowell—It is not
the intention of the government to re-
appoint Arthur McBride, late warden,
and William Keary, late accountant, to
the wardenship and accountantship, re-
spectively, of the New Westminster peni-
tentiary. Reason, on account of their
unsatisfactory records while holding such
positions. If the statement made by the
hon. gentleman, that the warden was a
mere figurehead and only carried out the
orders of a subordinate, is true, it is the
very best reason why he should not be
reappointed.

Hon. Mr. McInnes (B. C.) inquired:—
"I was it on the recommendation of
a member of the Dominion parliament
from British Columbia that James Fitz-
simmons was reappointed deputy warden
of the New Westminster penitentiary?
If so, what is the name of the member?"

Hon. Sir Mackenzie Bowell—Deputy
wardens in Canadian penitentiaries are
appointed on the responsibility and on the
recommendation of the minister of
justice and not on the recommendation
of a member of parliament. This course
was followed in the case of James Fitz-
simmons.

Hon. Mr. McInnes (B. C.) inquired:—
"Has Mr. James Dunsmyth, of Vic-
toria, British Columbia, president of the
Esquimalt & Nanaimo railway com-
pany, or any one else on behalf of said
railway company, applied for the usual
railway subsidy of \$3200 per mile to as-
sist in extending their road from Wel-
lington to Comox? If so, is it the inten-
tion of the government to grant the ap-
plication?"

Hon. Sir Mackenzie Bowell—Mr. Duns-
myth has made application for a subsidy
of \$3200 per mile for the extension of
the Esquimalt & Nanaimo railway from
Wellington to Comox. The finance min-
ister made the announcement in the
house of commons a short time ago
(which is now repeated) that the gov-
ernment does not contemplate granting
any cash subsidies to railways this ses-
sion.

Hon. Mr. McInnes (B. C.) inquired:—
"Has Mr. R. P. Rithet, of Victoria,
British Columbia, vice-president of the
British Pacific railway company, or any
one else on behalf of said railway com-
pany, applied for the usual railway sub-
sidy of \$3200 per mile to assist in build-
ing their railway? If so, is it the inten-
tion of the government to grant the ap-
plication?"

Hon. Sir Mackenzie Bowell—No appli-
cation has been made by Mr. R.
Rithet or anyone else for a subsidy of
\$3200 per mile in aid of the construction
of the "British Pacific Railway."

An order was made this morning by
Chief Justice Davis, releasing the
schooner Shell upon furnishing secu-
rity in the sum of \$2,000, satisfactory to
the registrar; the money's realized from
the sale of skins to be deposited in the
Bank of British Columbia to the credit
of C. E. Pooley and H. D. Heincken,
Simpkins (Drake, Jackson & Heincken)
for the application and A. P. Luxton
contra.

who stands as high as any hon. gentle-
man in this house.

Hon. Sir Mackenzie Bowell—I would
like to call the attention of the house to
the fact that when a question of order
is raised it is the duty of the speaker to
sit down until it is decided. The hon.
member must have forgotten that.

Hon. Mr. McInnes (B. C.)—I did not
forget it. The hon. gentleman rose to a
question of privilege he stated, and not
a question of order.

Hon. Sir Mackenzie Bowell—Then it
was an act of discourtesy to the hon.
gentleman as well as to the house. I
was charitable enough to think the hon.
gentleman had forgotten the rule for the
moment.

Hon. Mr. McInnes (B. C.)—The hon.
gentleman rose to a question of privilege,
and not in order, consequently I was per-
fectly justified in not sitting down—it
was discourtesy to the house.

Hon. Mr. Miller—The hon. gentleman
from Lunenburg in his last remarks used
the word privilege instead of order, but
when he first took the floor he rose to a
question of order.

Hon. Mr. Kaubach—I ask the ruling
of the chair on the question of order.

Hon. Mr. McInnes (B. C.)—I throw
myself on the indulgence of the house.
This man Moylan is, or ought to be, un-
der the control of the government, just
as much as if he were in their employ.
He has been superannuated, but surely
we are not going to allow superannuated
civil servants to have free scope to at-
tack members of this house and of the
other branch of parliament with impunity
and without redress. I appeal to the
premier if it is not his bounden duty
—a duty that he owes to himself, to this
house and to this country—that this
man's superannuation should be imme-
diately stopped, or that he should be ca-
tioned that if he attacks any member of
this or the other branch of parliament it
will be stopped, notwithstanding any
thing that the counsel for the late in-
spector may have to say to the contrary
in this house.

Hon. Sir Mackenzie Bowell—I do not
propose to follow the hon. gentleman in
the remarks that he has made, because I
am strongly of the opinion that the mat-
ter brought up by him is not a question
of privilege in any sense of the word, if
ever a question that might upon a public
man in a newspaper, either by inference
or directly, is to be considered a question
of privilege, there are very few of us
who could not occupy the time of the
house during half of the session.

Hon. Mr. Perley—We would not want
any adjournment.

Hon. Sir Mackenzie Bowell—In the
other house it has grown into practice to
explain any attack made upon a mem-
ber in the public press, and also to let
him defend himself. I do not wish to be un-
derstood as approving in any way of the
parenthetical sentence put into the re-
port of Mr. Moylan. On the contrary,
I had a conversation only last Saturday
with the minister of justice, who autho-
rized me to state, when the question came
up in this house, that he deeply regretted
it himself, and how it got into the report
he could not understand; that when he
read the report as presented to him, he
put his pen through the reference to the
hon. gentleman, considering it irrelevant;
but that how it got in afterwards he does not
know. I told him that I should take the
first opportunity to explain the matter to
the house. For my own part I think it
reprehensible on the part of any public
servant, or of the public press, to associ-
ate a gentleman's name with that of a
other who may have done wrong, simply
because he happens to be a connection by
marriage or by blood. I do not know of
any manner mode of attack. Still, I
question very much whether it becomes a
question of privilege that should be
brought up in this house. I have the
report before me, and the house will see
that the reference to the hon. gentleman
was altogether unnecessary. The inspec-
tor says in his report: "The subsequent
career of many of these witnesses, not-
ably the accountant and storekeeper,"
and then he puts in parenthesis, "nephew
of Senator McInnes of B. C."

Hon. Mr. MacDonald (B. C.)—That is
the third offence of the same kind.

Hon. Sir Mackenzie Bowell—Now that
the hon. gentleman has called attention
to it, I remember that Mr. Moylan was
taken to task for a similar offence be-
fore, but I can only say, so far as the
minister of justice is concerned, that he
was not aware that it was published un-
til his attention was called to it by the
questions on the paper. How far the
hon. gentleman's suggestion, in in-
sulting me, and the house will see
that the reference to the hon. gentleman
was altogether unnecessary. The inspec-
tor says in his report: "The subsequent
career of many of these witnesses, not-
ably the accountant and storekeeper,"
and then he puts in parenthesis, "nephew
of Senator McInnes of B. C."

Good
Things
to eat are
still better when
made with
COTTOLENE
for they are
FREE from GREASE
and are easily di-
gested. For Frying,
Shortening, and all
Cooking purposes
COTTOLENE is better
and purer than lard.

Made only by
The N. K. Fairbank
Company,
Wellington and Ann Sts.
MONTREAL.

THE EDITOR'S WIFE

A SUFFERER FROM HEADACHES AND
DYSPEPSIA FOR YEARS.

A Frank Statement From Mr. N. Page,
Editor of Le Spectateur, Hull, Que.,
in Which He Tells How His Wife
Regained Health and Strength.

The editor of Le Spectateur has often
been asked whether he thought entire
reliance can be placed in the statements
appearing each week in the newspapers
and in his health. We believe this
by the use of Dr. Williams' Pink Pills,
and can unhesitatingly say that he be-
lieves the half has not been told, and
that for each cure that has been made
public through the press there are
scores of others, no doubt quite as re-
markable, of which the general public
never hear. Many people shrink from
permitting the publication of a state-
ment of their cure and for this reason
it is never heard of outside the circle
of their acquaintances. We believe this
to be all wrong, and think it is a duty
those who have recovered health and
strength owe to others less fortunate,
to make the means of their restoration
public. This at all events is the spirit
that animates the editor of Le Specta-
teur in making known, for the good it
may do others, the fact that Dr. Wil-
liams' Pink Pills have, in his own
household, proven worthy of the high
praises accorded them elsewhere. Mme.
Page was for upwards of seven years
an almost constant sufferer from severe
headaches, pain in the back and dyspep-
sia. As a result her appetite was
fickle, and she was reduced to a state
of great weakness. It was in 1887 that
she first began to be troubled, and this
condition of affairs continued until 1893.
At that time, as a result of having read
so much of the cures wrought by the
use of Dr. Williams' Pink Pills, a few
boxes were procured, and it was soon
observed that she was regaining her old
time health. The continued use of the
pills for a time had the most beneficial
effect. The headaches left, so did the
pains in the back, and the stomach was
restored to its normal condition, and
when she discontinued the Pink Pills it
was with a feeling that her health was
entirely restored. During the past win-
ter Mme. Page had another somewhat
similar attack, but, resorting again to
Pink Pills, she was soon on the road to
recovery, and we have no hesitation in
commending them as an unequalled
blood builder and nerve restorer, having
seen their good effects in other cases
than the one above noted."

THREE MURDERERS SWING.

A Triple Execution Takes Place at San
Quentin.

San Francisco, June 7.—Three mur-
derers were hanged at San Quentin this
morning. Five men were to have been
executed, but Governor Budd granted
respite in the cases of Fremont Smith
and Rice Morasco. The three men who
suffered the death penalty to-day were
Patrick Collins, Amelio Garcia and An-
thony Azoff. Collins stabbed his wife
to death because she would no longer
give her earnings to him to buy liquor.
She was employed as janitress in a
kindergarten. He followed her into the
schoolroom and slashed her mercilessly
with a knife. Amelio Garcia was a
Mexican. Last year near Colton, San
Bernardino county, he cut the throat of
an old Frenchman for the purpose of
robbery, it being supposed that the vic-
tim had hidden about his cabin a sum
of gold. Anthony Azoff shot and killed
Len Harris, a Southern Pacific detec-
tive. Azoff was a highwayman. He had
robbed a railroad station agent and the
detective had traced him to Boulder
creek, where he attempted to place him
under arrest. Azoff drew a revolver
and shot him.

Canadian News.

Quebec, June 8.—A fire broke out yester-
day at Bergerville, a small adjacent
village, and seven houses were burned to
ashes, including those of Messrs. M.
Gaffney, P. Stock, Mrs. Purdy, and
others. The houses were postmistress, John Savard, E.
Nadeau, Mrs. Thibierge, W. Walsh and
Mr. Eli Beland. Most of these houses
were wooden structures. Very little fur-
niture was saved, and the insurance will
not cover half the loss, which amounts
to some thousands of dollars.

Quebec, June 8.—Quebec will have its
electric tramways. The city council de-
cided last night by a vote of 17 to 13 in
favor of Mr. Beemer's scheme.

Winnipeg, Man., June 8.—The Mani-
to-
ba crop bulletin was issued to-day. It
is compiled from the reports of 350
agents in all parts of the province and
shows that the crops were never so far
advanced at this date in any previous
year and the prospects are bright for an
early and abundant harvest throughout
the whole of Manitoba and the Territo-
ries. The frosts of last month, according
to the testimony of the farmers, have
been beneficial, having strengthened the
roots of the wheat and made them stool
out better than if there had been no
check to early vegetation. The acreage
under crop this year is: Wheat, 1,140,276
acres; oats, 482,658; barley, 153,839;
flax, 82,608; rye, 2835; peas, 970; buck-
wheat, 129; corn, 1004; potatoes, 16,716;
roots, 698; total, 1,887,776 acres. This
is an increase over last year's total of
295,380 acres. The increased area under
wheat is 130,000. The reports also show
that of last year's crop 14,151,458 bush-
els were exported and 3,021,422 bushels
used in the province, of which 84,000
bushels is still in farmers' hands. The
prospects for the hay crop are excellent
and live stock is in prime condition.

The scrofulous taint which has been in
your blood for years, will be expelled by
taking Hood's Sarsaparilla, the great blood
purifier.