

full half hour, in tones that might be adapted to deaf ears in the open air (certainly more sound than sense), to exhibiting the future glory of the Church. Some of the passages were very fine, their diction and matter unexceptional—for every one knows that Punshon has written and published very fine passages.

Mr. Morrison replied. We need scarcely say that Mr. Morrison accepted the onus of debate, because the remarks made by him at the evangelistic service already referred to were those that chiefly awoke the challenger's ire, and that to him especially was the gauntlet thrown down. Mr. Morrison read the challenge, and pointed out the grave charges therein made against the members of L. and Y. Presbytery. He showed that there was no name either of persons or denominations mentioned in the addresses to which allusion was made, but that certain practices of certain revivalists were sketched, and that if Mr. Gaetz and his people saw their own image in the picture drawn, and like the "Dog" in the fable plunged into the water to grapple with a shadow, they only were responsible, and must take the consequences. He directed attention to the statement in the challenge, that the test of Scripture was to be applied to the views of Presbyterians on revivals, but that the only instance in which Mr. Gaetz quoted Scripture in his address, was one in which it was glaringly misapplied, though the speaker chuckled over it as a very smart thrust. Mr. Gaetz, the accuser, here appropriated the words of Paul, and addressed them, not to the judge, but to the accused. No ordinary Sabbath School scholar would make such an absurd blun-