Secretary

l States. on each

Atlantic

the Bay

helongftate of

foreign

the Den of the

ritannic

ofe pro-

pproved nemy in

feizure

ufly and folidity iftances, g fhore. 10re fato. For bysany mbined a extent

feizure vill not the mutof the

proprie-

auftible riated; would indered

Grot.

"Rivers might be held in property; though neither where they rife, nor where they difcharge themfelves be within our territory, but they join to both, or the fea. It is fufficient for us, that the larger part of water, that is, the fides, is flut up in our banks, and that the river, in refpect of our land, is itfelf finall and infignificant." Grot. b. 2. c. 3. f. 7, and Barbegroe, in his note fubjoins, that neither of those is neceffary.

"Rivers may be the property of whole flates." Puff. b. 3. c. 3. f. 4.

"To render a thing, capable of being appropriated, it is not frictly neceffary, that we fhould inclose it, or be able to inclose it within artificial bounds, or fuch as are different from its own fubfrance; it is fufficient if the compass and extent of it can be any way determined. And therefore Grotius hath given himfelf a needlefs trouble, when, to prove rivers, capable of property, he use this argument, that although they are bounded by the land at neither end, but united to the other rivers or the fea; yet it is enough, that the greater part of them, that is, their fides, are enclosed. Puff. b. 4. c. 5. f. 3.

"When a nation takes possession of a country in order to fettle there, it posfeffes every thing included in it, as lands, lakes, rivers," &c. Vattel, b. I. c. 22. f. 266.

To this lift might be added Bynkershock and Selden. But the differtation of the former, de dominio maris, cannot be quoted with advantage in detachment; and the authority of the latter on this head may, in the judgment of fone, partake too much of affection for the hypothesis of mare clausum. As Seldeu, however, finks in influence on this question; fo must Grotius rife, who contended for the mare liberum; and his accurate commentator, Rutherforth, confirms his principles in the following passage, "A nation, by fettling upon any tract of land, which at the time of fuch fettlement had no other owner, acquires, in respect of all other nations, an exclusive right of full or absolute property, not only in the land, but in the waters likewife, that are included within the land, fuch as rivers, pools, creeks or bays. The absolute property of a nation, in what it has thus feized upon, is its right of territory, a Ruth. b. 2. c. 9. f. 6.

. Congress too have acted on these ideas, when, in their collection-laws, they ascribe to a state the rivers, wholly within that state.

. It would feeln, however, that the fpot of feizure is attempted to be withdrawn from the protection of these respectable authorities, as being in the Bay of Delaware, instead of the *River* Delaware.

Who can ferioufly doubt the identity of the *River* and *Bay* of Delaware ? How often are different portions of the fame fream denominated differently ? This is fometimes accidental ; femetimes for no other purpofe, than to affift the intercourfe between man and man, by eafy diffinctions of fpace. Are not this River and this Bay fed by the fame fprings from the land, and the fame tides from the ocean ? Are not both doubly flanked by the territory of the United States ? Have any local laws at any time provided variable arrangements for the River and the Bay? Has not the jurifdiction of the contiguous flates been exercised equally on both ?

But suppose that the *River* was dried up, and the *Bay* alone remained, Grotius continues the argument of the 7th fection, of the 3d chapter, of the 2d book above cited, in the following words:

" By this inftance it feems to appear, that the property and dominion of the far might belong to him, who is in poffeffion of the lands on both fides, though it be open above, as a gulph, or above and below, as a ftreight; provided it is not fo great a part of the fea, that, when compared with the lands on both fides, it cannot be fuppofed to be fome part of them. And now, what is thus lawful to one hing or people, may be alfo lawful to two or three, if they have a mind to take poffeffion of a fea, thus enclofed within their lands; for 'tis in this manner, that a river, which feparates two nations, has first been poffeffed by both, and then divided."

⁶ The gulphs and channels, or arms of the fea are, according to the regular course, supposed to belong to the people, with whose lands they are encompassed," Fuff. b. 4, c. 5, f. 8.