

upon to report; and it was considered therefore only proper, that if St. Patrick's Congregation was represented on this Committee, the parties whose proceedings that congregation complained of should also be represented.

The Committee met again on the 12th February, when the following letter from Messrs. Redpath, Alexander and Robertson was read, viz:

" MONTREAL, 12th Feby., 1876.

" DEAR SIR,—In answer to your letter of date the 10th inst.,
 " informing us that we had been appointed members of a Com-
 " mittee of the Governors of the Montreal General Hospital
 " appointed to consider the representations made by a Committee
 " of the Congregation of St. Patrick's Church, upon the McIntyre
 " case, we beg to say that as we were members of the Committee
 " of Management, by which the investigation into the McIntyre
 " case was conducted, and expressed our views upon it in the re-
 " solutions then passed, we would prefer not to accept nomination
 " as members of a body which must of necessity take into con-
 " sideration the correctness of those resolutions.

" We believe it to be a generally accepted and reasonable rule
 " that persons who have formed and expressed a decided opinion
 " upon a matter in controversy should not subsequently act as
 " judges upon such matters.

" We beg therefore to decline the nomination.

" The minutes of the evidence laid before the Committee of
 " Management are in the custody of the President who will trans-
 " mit them to you.

" Very truly yours,

(Signed,) " PETER REDPATH.

" " CHARLES ALEXANDER.

" " ANDREW ROBERTSON.

" C. J. BRYDGES, Esq.,

" Chairman Special Committee of Governors,

" Montreal General Hospital."

The object of your Committee in desiring to have both sides
 equally represented in the discussion was, therefore, unsuccessful,