

National Transportation Act, 1986

for debate. One vote will be taken on Motions Nos. 16 and 17. Motion No. 50 will be voted on separately.

Motion No. 18 will not be selected.

[English]

Motions Nos. 19 and 20 will be debated separately and voted on separately.

[Translation]

Motion No. 21 is attempting to introduce something new into the Clause it seeks to amend, which is beyond the scope of the Clause. Therefore I must rule this motion out of order and, in doing so, I would refer the Hon. Member to Citation 773(1) of Beauchesne's Fifth Edition.

[English]

Motions Nos. 21A and 22 will be debated separately and voted on separately.

Motion No. 23 in the name of the Hon. Member for Regina West (Mr. Benjamin) would seek to expand the parties who may refer a dispute to the agency for mediation. This is beyond the scope of the clause, and I must rule the motion out of order. Again, I refer to Beauchesne's Fifth Edition, Citation 773(1).

Motions Nos. 24 to 32 inclusive all deal with the arbitration process. As the provisions of Motions Nos. 24, 25, 26, 28, 29 and 30 are contained in Motion No. 27, they will not be selected for debate by the House. But the other motions, namely, Motions Nos. 27, 31 and 32 will be grouped for debate. Motions Nos. 27, 31 and 32 will be voted on separately.

[Translation]

Motions Nos. 33 and 34 also deal with aspects of the arbitration process. They will be combined for debate but voted on separately.

[English]

Motion No. 35 would expand steps taken by the agency in conducting investigations undertaken pursuant to subclause 59(2) of the Bill. Provision for such public hearings would involve an additional expenditure and thus would infringe on the financial initiative of the Crown. Therefore, I must rule the motion out of order. In doing so, I rely on Beauchesne's Fifth Edition, Citation 773(7).

[Translation]

Motion No. 36 will not be selected.

[English]

Motions Nos. 37, 60, 61, 62 and 63 deal with confidential contracts and will be grouped for debate. Motions Nos. 37 and 62 will be voted on separately. An affirmative vote on Motion No. 63 obviates the need to put the question on Motions Nos. 60 and 61. A negative vote on Motion No. 63 requires the question to be put on Motions Nos. 60 and 61.

[Translation]

Motions Nos. 38 and 39 will not be selected. Motion No. 40 will be debated and voted on separately.

• (1140)

[English]

Motions Nos. 41, 42 and 43 attempt to amend an interpretation clause of the Bill. The definitions they seek to introduce are substantial amendments to the clause and are out of order. I think Mr. Speaker Lamoureux best summarized the procedural problem provided by an amendment to the interpretation clause when on May 21, 1970, at page 7166 of *Debates*, he said:

In the opinion of the Chair, amendments of a substantive or declaratory nature should not be proposed to an interpretation clause. If such amendments were accepted, the clause would not then be an interpretation clause. I am sure Hon. Members realize the difficulty of accepting substantive amendments or proposals under the general classification of interpretation.

I suggest to Hon. Members with respect that that is not the place to make proposed amendments or motions which are of a substantive nature.

Motions Nos. 44 and 2 will be grouped for debate. A vote on Motion No. 44 will dispose of Motion No. 2.

Motion No. 46 will be debated and voted on separately. Motion No. 47 will be debated and voted on separately.

Motion No. 48 standing in the name of the Hon. Member for Regina West (Mr. Benjamin) seeks to redefine the term "designated area" by changing its basis from one of geography to one of population. I think this is clearly a new concept and I would suggest to the Hon. Member that he is trying to do indirectly what he cannot do directly although, he has argued strongly that that is not his intent. However, the effect is to change the definition of "designated area" as described in the interpretation clause. I must, as I have indicated to the Hon. Member with regret, rule the motion out of order.

Motion No. 49 will be debated and voted on separately. Motion No. 50 was dealt with in paragraph 8 of this statement.

Motion No. 51 would provide for financial assistance to carriers to encourage them to enter certain markets. The provision of such assistance would add a new expense and thus infringes on the financial initiative of the Crown. Again, in accordance with Citation 773(7) of Beauchesne's Fifth Edition, I must rule this motion out of order.

[Translation]

Motions Nos. 52, 52A and 52B will be debated separately and voted on separately.

Motions Nos. 53 and 54 will be grouped for debate. A vote on motion No. 53 will also apply to motion No. 54.

Motion No. 54A will be debated and voted on separately.

Motion No. 55 will not be selected.