The Chief Justice of the Superior Court Twelve Puisné Judges of the said Court, whose	7,000
residences are fixed at Montreal and Quebec,	
each	6,000
Sixteen Puisné Judges of the said Court, whose	,
residences are fixed elsewhere than at Montreal	
or Quebec, including the Judge of the District	
of Terrebonne, each	4,500
The senior Puisné Judge residing at Quebec, if the	-,000
Chief Justice resides at Montreal, or the senior	
Puisné Judge residing at Montreal, if the Chief	
Justice resides at Quebec, in addition to his	
other salary	1,000
In Nova Scotia—	-,000
The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court	6,000
The Judge in Equity	5,000
Five Puisné Judges of the said Court, each	5,000
In New Brunswick—	-,
The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court	6,000
The Judge in Equity	5,000
Four Puisné Judges of the said Court, each	5,000
In Prince Edward Island—	,
The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, being	
also Judge of the Court of Vice-Admiralty	5,000
One Assistant Judge, being also Master of the	•
Rolls in Chancery	4,000
One Assistant Judge, being also Vice-Chancellor.	4,000
In Manitoba—	•
The Chief Justice of the Court of Queen's Bench.	6,000
Three Puisné Judges of the said Court, each	5,000
In British Columbia—	•
The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court	6,000
Four Puisné Judges of the said Court, each	5,000
In the North-West Territories—	•
Five Puigné Indges of the Supreme Court each	5.000

Five Puisné Judges of the Supreme Court, each.. 5,000 Also that there shall be paid to each of the Judges hereinbefore mentioned attending, as such, any court held at any place other than that at which he is directed to reside, for travelling allowances, his moving expenses and five dollars for each day he is absent from his place of residence; also that the yearly salary of four County Court Judges of British Columbia shall be \$2,000 each, during the first three years of service, and after three years of service, each \$2,400, and that any one or all of such County Court Judges may likewise accept from the Province of British Columbia the office of Stipendiary Magistrate and accept remuneration from that Province; and also, that in the case of the Honorable Sir Adam Wilson, late Chief Justice of the Queen's Bench Division of the High Court of Justice for Ontario, the superannuation allow-ance to be granted to him shall be the same as if the salary hereby proposed to be fixed for his office as such Judge, had been the salary fixed by law at the time of his resignation.

Motion agreed to.

QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE.

Mr. JAMIESON. Before the Orders of the Day are called I wish to mention by way of privilege a matter which arose yesterday in connection with the debate upon prohibition. It will be recollected that the hon, member for Bothwell (Mr. Mills) charged that last year when I had charge of the Bill to amend the Canada Temperance Act that I refused to press the measure. In reply to that I stated that any action I had taken had been in accordance with the advice of the friends of the measure selected from both sides of the House at a meeting which had been convened for the purpose. The hon, member for Brome (Mr. Fisher) when referred to by me stated that he had no recollection of such Of course in order to put myself right-

Mr. SPEAKER. I would request the hon. gentleman to state at once what is the question of privilege. He is now referring to a debate which took place yesterday and I do not see there is any question of privilege in that.

Mr. JAMIESON. I have simply to say that the meeting I referred to, there are three members in the House now who attended it and which the hon. member for Brome (Mr. Fisher) had forgotten. I wish simply to put myself right on this question, as it might be considered a question of to read a statement attributed to the American consul at

(Mr. Fisher). I am satisfied that the hon, gentleman had no intention of misrepresenting the matter, but-

Mr. SPEAKER. I hardly think this is a question of privilege.

Mr. JAMIESON. My statement is this—

Mr. SPEAKER. Will the hon, member abandon the question of privilege?

Mr. MILLS (Bothwell). The hon. gentleman has stated what I did not say yesterday and I wish to repeat what my statement was. My statement was this: That I had proposed-

Mr. SPEAKER. I have stopped the hon, member for North Lanark (Mr. Jamieson). The hon, member when the question comes up again might have an opportunity to explain, but just now it will interfere with the business of the House.

EVIDENCE BEFORE THE RAILWAY COMMISSION.

Mr. HOLTON. Before the Orders of the Day are called, I wish to repeat the question which I asked the Government last Friday, that is, whether it is intended to lay before the House and distribute to the members the evidence taken before the Royal Commission on Railways. As I said then I repeat now, it seems to me that we cannot intelligently discuss this Bill without this evidence in our

Mr. BOWELL. Immediately upon the question being brought before the House by the hon. member for Chateauguay (Mr. Holton) the other day, I saw the Clerk of the Printing Committee and asked him if they had not those reports printed. He said that they had but in very limited numbers. I then gave him instructions to have them printed immediately and distributed among the members and he promised to do so. Since then I have not thought

Mr. HOLTON. I am asking Parliament with regard to the evidence. I know that when the Minister of Railways laid the report of the Commission on the Table, on the 29th February last I think, there were certain documents accompanying it, but not the evidence, and it is the evidence for which I am particularly asking now.

Mr. CASEY. I have no doubt that the Minister of Cus-

Mr. SPEAKER. Surely the hon, gentlemen do not mean to have a debate on this. The question has been put and an answer has been given, therefore a debate is not in accordance with the Rules of the House.

Mr. CASEY. Is it not allowable to point out the importance of having those papers on the Table? It has been allowed before, I think.

Mr. SPEAKER. It has been allowed by controverting the Rules.

THE FISHERIES TREATY.

House resolved itself into Committee on Bill (No. 65) respecting a certain Treaty between Her Britannic Majesty and the President of the United States .- (Sir Charles Tupper.)

(In the Committee.)

On section 5,

Mr. MITCHELL. Before that clause is adopted, I wish veracity between myself and the hon member for Brome Halifax, a gentleman who has taken a great interest in this