Dr. Horn, Can. Eng., 1884, p. 127, states why the name Chrysomela scalaris, given to the beetle, Ann. Lyceum N. H. 1824, vol. 1, p. 173, pl. 7, f. 18, was changed by Stal, Monogr. p. 261, in Chr. multiguttis, because Olivier had described in 1807 a Chr. scalaris. But as this species belongs to Doryphora, and LeConte's species to Chalcographa, this latter name can stand, and is in fact accepted by Jacoby, Biol. Cent. Am. vi., pl. i., p. 197, pl. xl., f. 6. Mr. Jacoby says: "A specimen from the collection of Sturm is labeled by him C. rufipes." The synonym of C. lateralis St. given in Gemminger's Catalogue must therefore refer to another species. But there is in Sturm's Catalogues from 1826 and 1843 nowhere a C. rufibes mentioned, which must be a collection name. The name C. lateralis is put by Sturm himself, Catal. 1843, p. 288, as a synonym to C. scalaris Dej., which is our species. The C. philadelphica Kirby, Tr. Am. Soc., is considered as a synonym of our species by Rogers, Suffrian, But Rev. Bethune, in his edition of Kirby's Fa., 1883, p. 96, unites it with C. philadelphica of Linnæus.

REMARKS ON CHRYSOMELA SCALARIS, Lec., CHRYSOMELA LABYRINTHICA, Lec., AND PHYSONOTA UNIPUNCTA, SAY,

BY F. B. CAULFIELD, MONTREAL.

Chrysomela labyrinthica, Lec. This insect is, I believe, by some entomologists thought to be a variety of C. scalaris, Lec. I incline myself to the belief that it is a distinct species, but my knowledge of it is too limited for me to form a decided opinion. Both forms occur here; scalaris is common wherever basswood or elm are found; labyrinthica is scarce, and as far as my experience goes, is confined to one locality, viz., that portion of the Mountain Park lying between the head of Redpath Street and the road through the Park. I have only found labyrinthica during the early part of summer; scalaris occurs both in spring and fall. Mr. Moffat, who records labyrinthica from Hamilton (CAN. ENT., vol. xiv., page 57), took a specimen on May 23rd, and found its season to last about four weeks, during which he took over a dozen. It appears to be very rare, and is in very few lists. Mr. Pettit does not give it in his Grimsby List. Mr. D'Urban records scalaris common at Montreal, but does not mention labyrinthica. The same author, in his list from the