

## Prime Minister's Honor Impugned

(Continued from 4th page.)

debate on the Estimates would not permit of the thrashing out of the matter. An impartial enquiry was absolutely necessary.

Sir John Crosbie repeated that the Minister of Marine and Fisheries had not taken his advice with respect to the motion for a Select Committee. He dwelt at some length on the matter of the "President Coaker" and he asked the Minister, if he had issued any cheques to Mr. Sellars, to lay them on the table of the House.

The Prime Minister stated he had the cheques and would in a few minutes lay them on the table of the House.

Sir John Crosbie said that the cat would then be out of the bag. The Prime Minister said the cheques were as follows:

Nov. 27th, 1920—\$67,500.00.  
Nov. 27th, 1920—\$82,675.00.  
Dec. 16th, 1920—\$3,781.11.

The first two were made payable to Mr. Joseph Sellars or order, the third to A. H. Murray.

Sir John Crosbie said the mouse had fallen into the trap. He was now convinced the whole matter was crooked. That morning he had seen Mr. Sellars and had been told by him the presence of a witness that he had had no interest in the "President Coaker" but that on Nov. 26th, 1920, a cheque had been sent him from the Department of Marine and Fisheries for \$82,000. When Mr. Sellars deposited the cheque to the credit of his own account he drew a cheque for an equal sum in favour of the Union Trading Company. The Minister of Marine and Fisheries had liberally sent in the cheque to Mr. Sellars and received in return another cheque for a similar amount. The like of this had never been heard before. Half was not yet told about the "President Coaker's" cargo. Had any arrangements been made to recover the money paid for the "President Coaker's" cargo? This cargo was now landing in Italy and no one knew whether a single cent would ever be got for it. The people were clamouring for an investigation and they would not be satisfied until they got it. The Prime Minister would not have the pleasure of attending the June conference until this matter was cleared up. The production of the cheques was only the beginning of the transaction. If the Department of Marine and Fisheries was in as disgraceful a condition as was this cheque it was impossible to say how much money had gone from it. The way in which Mr. Sellars bought that was the nicest way in which he had ever heard of anyone buying fish. The Government dared not have an enquiry. Sir John next dealt with the salt question. Why had the sum on present paid into the treasury by the Union Trading Co. been paid before questions were asked in the House? The answer should be told how much was now in Port Union.

The question of the cheques was a serious one and would require a full investigation. Sir John said that when he had become of the Charter Party, Insurance and other documents of the "President Coaker" but could get no answer. The debate was adjourned until to-day. After the questions on the order paper had been dealt with the House adjourned until 3 p.m. to-day.

The Prime Minister said the cheques were as follows:

Nov. 27th, 1920—\$67,500.00.  
Nov. 27th, 1920—\$82,675.00.  
Dec. 16th, 1920—\$3,781.11.

The first two were made payable to Mr. Joseph Sellars or order, the third to A. H. Murray.

Sir John Crosbie said the mouse had fallen into the trap. He was now convinced the whole matter was crooked. That morning he had seen Mr. Sellars and had been told by him the presence of a witness that he had had no interest in the "President Coaker" but that on Nov. 26th, 1920, a cheque had been sent him from the Department of Marine and Fisheries for \$82,000. When Mr. Sellars deposited the cheque to the credit of his own account he drew a cheque for an equal sum in favour of the Union Trading Company. The Minister of Marine and Fisheries had liberally sent in the cheque to Mr. Sellars and received in return another cheque for a similar amount. The like of this had never been heard before. Half was not yet told about the "President Coaker's" cargo. Had any arrangements been made to recover the money paid for the "President Coaker's" cargo? This cargo was now landing in Italy and no one knew whether a single cent would ever be got for it. The people were clamouring for an investigation and they would not be satisfied until they got it. The Prime Minister would not have the pleasure of attending the June conference until this matter was cleared up. The production of the cheques was only the beginning of the transaction. If the Department of Marine and Fisheries was in as disgraceful a condition as was this cheque it was impossible to say how much money had gone from it. The way in which Mr. Sellars bought that was the nicest way in which he had ever heard of anyone buying fish. The Government dared not have an enquiry. Sir John next dealt with the salt question. Why had the sum on present paid into the treasury by the Union Trading Co. been paid before questions were asked in the House? The answer should be told how much was now in Port Union.

The question of the cheques was a serious one and would require a full investigation. Sir John said that when he had become of the Charter Party, Insurance and other documents of the "President Coaker" but could get no answer. The debate was adjourned until to-day. After the questions on the order paper had been dealt with the House adjourned until 3 p.m. to-day.

The Prime Minister said the cheques were as follows:

Nov. 27th, 1920—\$67,500.00.  
Nov. 27th, 1920—\$82,675.00.  
Dec. 16th, 1920—\$3,781.11.

The first two were made payable to Mr. Joseph Sellars or order, the third to A. H. Murray.

Sir John Crosbie said the mouse had fallen into the trap. He was now convinced the whole matter was crooked. That morning he had seen Mr. Sellars and had been told by him the presence of a witness that he had had no interest in the "President Coaker" but that on Nov. 26th, 1920, a cheque had been sent him from the Department of Marine and Fisheries for \$82,000. When Mr. Sellars deposited the cheque to the credit of his own account he drew a cheque for an equal sum in favour of the Union Trading Company. The Minister of Marine and Fisheries had liberally sent in the cheque to Mr. Sellars and received in return another cheque for a similar amount. The like of this had never been heard before. Half was not yet told about the "President Coaker's" cargo. Had any arrangements been made to recover the money paid for the "President Coaker's" cargo? This cargo was now landing in Italy and no one knew whether a single cent would ever be got for it. The people were clamouring for an investigation and they would not be satisfied until they got it. The Prime Minister would not have the pleasure of attending the June conference until this matter was cleared up. The production of the cheques was only the beginning of the transaction. If the Department of Marine and Fisheries was in as disgraceful a condition as was this cheque it was impossible to say how much money had gone from it. The way in which Mr. Sellars bought that was the nicest way in which he had ever heard of anyone buying fish. The Government dared not have an enquiry. Sir John next dealt with the salt question. Why had the sum on present paid into the treasury by the Union Trading Co. been paid before questions were asked in the House? The answer should be told how much was now in Port Union.

The question of the cheques was a serious one and would require a full investigation. Sir John said that when he had become of the Charter Party, Insurance and other documents of the "President Coaker" but could get no answer. The debate was adjourned until to-day. After the questions on the order paper had been dealt with the House adjourned until 3 p.m. to-day.

The Prime Minister said the cheques were as follows:

Nov. 27th, 1920—\$67,500.00.  
Nov. 27th, 1920—\$82,675.00.  
Dec. 16th, 1920—\$3,781.11.

The first two were made payable to Mr. Joseph Sellars or order, the third to A. H. Murray.

Sir John Crosbie said the mouse had fallen into the trap. He was now convinced the whole matter was crooked. That morning he had seen Mr. Sellars and had been told by him the presence of a witness that he had had no interest in the "President Coaker" but that on Nov. 26th, 1920, a cheque had been sent him from the Department of Marine and Fisheries for \$82,000. When Mr. Sellars deposited the cheque to the credit of his own account he drew a cheque for an equal sum in favour of the Union Trading Company. The Minister of Marine and Fisheries had liberally sent in the cheque to Mr. Sellars and received in return another cheque for a similar amount. The like of this had never been heard before. Half was not yet told about the "President Coaker's" cargo. Had any arrangements been made to recover the money paid for the "President Coaker's" cargo? This cargo was now landing in Italy and no one knew whether a single cent would ever be got for it. The people were clamouring for an investigation and they would not be satisfied until they got it. The Prime Minister would not have the pleasure of attending the June conference until this matter was cleared up. The production of the cheques was only the beginning of the transaction. If the Department of Marine and Fisheries was in as disgraceful a condition as was this cheque it was impossible to say how much money had gone from it. The way in which Mr. Sellars bought that was the nicest way in which he had ever heard of anyone buying fish. The Government dared not have an enquiry. Sir John next dealt with the salt question. Why had the sum on present paid into the treasury by the Union Trading Co. been paid before questions were asked in the House? The answer should be told how much was now in Port Union.

The question of the cheques was a serious one and would require a full investigation. Sir John said that when he had become of the Charter Party, Insurance and other documents of the "President Coaker" but could get no answer. The debate was adjourned until to-day. After the questions on the order paper had been dealt with the House adjourned until 3 p.m. to-day.

The Prime Minister said the cheques were as follows:

Nov. 27th, 1920—\$67,500.00.  
Nov. 27th, 1920—\$82,675.00.  
Dec. 16th, 1920—\$3,781.11.

The first two were made payable to Mr. Joseph Sellars or order, the third to A. H. Murray.

Sir John Crosbie said the mouse had fallen into the trap. He was now convinced the whole matter was crooked. That morning he had seen Mr. Sellars and had been told by him the presence of a witness that he had had no interest in the "President Coaker" but that on Nov. 26th, 1920, a cheque had been sent him from the Department of Marine and Fisheries for \$82,000. When Mr. Sellars deposited the cheque to the credit of his own account he drew a cheque for an equal sum in favour of the Union Trading Company. The Minister of Marine and Fisheries had liberally sent in the cheque to Mr. Sellars and received in return another cheque for a similar amount. The like of this had never been heard before. Half was not yet told about the "President Coaker's" cargo. Had any arrangements been made to recover the money paid for the "President Coaker's" cargo? This cargo was now landing in Italy and no one knew whether a single cent would ever be got for it. The people were clamouring for an investigation and they would not be satisfied until they got it. The Prime Minister would not have the pleasure of attending the June conference until this matter was cleared up. The production of the cheques was only the beginning of the transaction. If the Department of Marine and Fisheries was in as disgraceful a condition as was this cheque it was impossible to say how much money had gone from it. The way in which Mr. Sellars bought that was the nicest way in which he had ever heard of anyone buying fish. The Government dared not have an enquiry. Sir John next dealt with the salt question. Why had the sum on present paid into the treasury by the Union Trading Co. been paid before questions were asked in the House? The answer should be told how much was now in Port Union.

The question of the cheques was a serious one and would require a full investigation. Sir John said that when he had become of the Charter Party, Insurance and other documents of the "President Coaker" but could get no answer. The debate was adjourned until to-day. After the questions on the order paper had been dealt with the House adjourned until 3 p.m. to-day.

The Prime Minister said the cheques were as follows:

Nov. 27th, 1920—\$67,500.00.  
Nov. 27th, 1920—\$82,675.00.  
Dec. 16th, 1920—\$3,781.11.

The first two were made payable to Mr. Joseph Sellars or order, the third to A. H. Murray.

Sir John Crosbie said the mouse had fallen into the trap. He was now convinced the whole matter was crooked. That morning he had seen Mr. Sellars and had been told by him the presence of a witness that he had had no interest in the "President Coaker" but that on Nov. 26th, 1920, a cheque had been sent him from the Department of Marine and Fisheries for \$82,000. When Mr. Sellars deposited the cheque to the credit of his own account he drew a cheque for an equal sum in favour of the Union Trading Company. The Minister of Marine and Fisheries had liberally sent in the cheque to Mr. Sellars and received in return another cheque for a similar amount. The like of this had never been heard before. Half was not yet told about the "President Coaker's" cargo. Had any arrangements been made to recover the money paid for the "President Coaker's" cargo? This cargo was now landing in Italy and no one knew whether a single cent would ever be got for it. The people were clamouring for an investigation and they would not be satisfied until they got it. The Prime Minister would not have the pleasure of attending the June conference until this matter was cleared up. The production of the cheques was only the beginning of the transaction. If the Department of Marine and Fisheries was in as disgraceful a condition as was this cheque it was impossible to say how much money had gone from it. The way in which Mr. Sellars bought that was the nicest way in which he had ever heard of anyone buying fish. The Government dared not have an enquiry. Sir John next dealt with the salt question. Why had the sum on present paid into the treasury by the Union Trading Co. been paid before questions were asked in the House? The answer should be told how much was now in Port Union.

The question of the cheques was a serious one and would require a full investigation. Sir John said that when he had become of the Charter Party, Insurance and other documents of the "President Coaker" but could get no answer. The debate was adjourned until to-day. After the questions on the order paper had been dealt with the House adjourned until 3 p.m. to-day.

The Prime Minister said the cheques were as follows:

Nov. 27th, 1920—\$67,500.00.  
Nov. 27th, 1920—\$82,675.00.  
Dec. 16th, 1920—\$3,781.11.

The first two were made payable to Mr. Joseph Sellars or order, the third to A. H. Murray.

Sir John Crosbie said the mouse had fallen into the trap. He was now convinced the whole matter was crooked. That morning he had seen Mr. Sellars and had been told by him the presence of a witness that he had had no interest in the "President Coaker" but that on Nov. 26th, 1920, a cheque had been sent him from the Department of Marine and Fisheries for \$82,000. When Mr. Sellars deposited the cheque to the credit of his own account he drew a cheque for an equal sum in favour of the Union Trading Company. The Minister of Marine and Fisheries had liberally sent in the cheque to Mr. Sellars and received in return another cheque for a similar amount. The like of this had never been heard before. Half was not yet told about the "President Coaker's" cargo. Had any arrangements been made to recover the money paid for the "President Coaker's" cargo? This cargo was now landing in Italy and no one knew whether a single cent would ever be got for it. The people were clamouring for an investigation and they would not be satisfied until they got it. The Prime Minister would not have the pleasure of attending the June conference until this matter was cleared up. The production of the cheques was only the beginning of the transaction. If the Department of Marine and Fisheries was in as disgraceful a condition as was this cheque it was impossible to say how much money had gone from it. The way in which Mr. Sellars bought that was the nicest way in which he had ever heard of anyone buying fish. The Government dared not have an enquiry. Sir John next dealt with the salt question. Why had the sum on present paid into the treasury by the Union Trading Co. been paid before questions were asked in the House? The answer should be told how much was now in Port Union.

The question of the cheques was a serious one and would require a full investigation. Sir John said that when he had become of the Charter Party, Insurance and other documents of the "President Coaker" but could get no answer. The debate was adjourned until to-day. After the questions on the order paper had been dealt with the House adjourned until 3 p.m. to-day.

The Prime Minister said the cheques were as follows:

Nov. 27th, 1920—\$67,500.00.  
Nov. 27th, 1920—\$82,675.00.  
Dec. 16th, 1920—\$3,781.11.

The first two were made payable to Mr. Joseph Sellars or order, the third to A. H. Murray.

Sir John Crosbie said the mouse had fallen into the trap. He was now convinced the whole matter was crooked. That morning he had seen Mr. Sellars and had been told by him the presence of a witness that he had had no interest in the "President Coaker" but that on Nov. 26th, 1920, a cheque had been sent him from the Department of Marine and Fisheries for \$82,000. When Mr. Sellars deposited the cheque to the credit of his own account he drew a cheque for an equal sum in favour of the Union Trading Company. The Minister of Marine and Fisheries had liberally sent in the cheque to Mr. Sellars and received in return another cheque for a similar amount. The like of this had never been heard before. Half was not yet told about the "President Coaker's" cargo. Had any arrangements been made to recover the money paid for the "President Coaker's" cargo? This cargo was now landing in Italy and no one knew whether a single cent would ever be got for it. The people were clamouring for an investigation and they would not be satisfied until they got it. The Prime Minister would not have the pleasure of attending the June conference until this matter was cleared up. The production of the cheques was only the beginning of the transaction. If the Department of Marine and Fisheries was in as disgraceful a condition as was this cheque it was impossible to say how much money had gone from it. The way in which Mr. Sellars bought that was the nicest way in which he had ever heard of anyone buying fish. The Government dared not have an enquiry. Sir John next dealt with the salt question. Why had the sum on present paid into the treasury by the Union Trading Co. been paid before questions were asked in the House? The answer should be told how much was now in Port Union.

The question of the cheques was a serious one and would require a full investigation. Sir John said that when he had become of the Charter Party, Insurance and other documents of the "President Coaker" but could get no answer. The debate was adjourned until to-day. After the questions on the order paper had been dealt with the House adjourned until 3 p.m. to-day.

The Prime Minister said the cheques were as follows:

Nov. 27th, 1920—\$67,500.00.  
Nov. 27th, 1920—\$82,675.00.  
Dec. 16th, 1920—\$3,781.11.

# NEWFOUNDLANDERS!

For your own benefit, and for the good of your fellow-countrymen and women will you say

## I PLEDGE MYSELF

to buy one or more local-made articles every week, and whenever possible to use local-made goods all the time.

Furthermore, I will boost local-made goods, knowing that by so doing I shall be helping to keep local factories working full time, and my fellow-countrymen employed six days a week.

Goods made and sold in Newfoundland  
By Newfoundlanders  
Means prosperity for Newfoundland

### "All Tangled Up."

#### A VERY SUCCESSFUL PRODUCTION.

of his would in that case, and it was the declared that and Fisheries to serve his Minister had and other people his own and he appealed to his opinions as they were going.

and the Address. motion for a been turned wished it to be not make any net the Minister, series, but, that himself in an there was new an act as he the alousa Labrador fish. House did not allocation use during the as surprised at Western con- action so districts. This will have to allocation, and empty bags and innocent that and Fisheries. action he don't allowed to keep exorted to keep the Address. audience. The (4th page.)

WELL DRESSED AT SMALL COST.—If you do not intend to get a Suit or Overcoat for the holiday season, you can at least be well dressed by having your clothes Cleaned and Pressed at SPURRELL the Tailor's, 365 Water Street, and it will cost you about \$1.50. Do you need a new Velvet Collar on your Overcoat?—m.w.f. (4th page.)

### Sachem From Boston.

S.S. Sachem, Capt. G. L. Hayes, arrived in port at 8 p.m. yesterday from Boston via Halifax. She brought a small freight, and the following passengers:

From Boston—Mrs. J. Ayre, Miss H. Baird, Miss M. Bates, Mrs. J. C. Chadder, Mrs. H. L. Chaney, Mr. F. Cole, Mr. N. Cole, Mr. D. Hayes, Mr. T. Hayes, Mrs. M. Hayes, Mr. R. Hatch, Miss E. Hatch, Miss G. Kelloway, Miss M. Kelloway, Mr. J. Noonan, Mr. P. Noonan, Mr. P. Selig, Miss S. Yetman. The Sachem leaves for Liverpool to-morrow morning.

Eat Mrs. Stewart's Home-made Bread.—ap18,smos

Gillis, Mr. and Mrs. G. G. Glennie and son, Miss Glennie, Miss G. Gittleson, Mr. A. Holland, Mrs. H. N. Hubley and infant, Mr. W. T. Moore, Mr. A. Munro, Mr. O. P. Jones, Mrs. O. P. Jones and child, Mr. E. Jerrett, Mr. P. Leddingham, Mr. B. Miller, Mr. M. Miller, Mr. W. T. Moore, Mr. A. Munro, Mrs. W. A. Munn, Mr. W. E. Parker, Miss M. Russell, Mr. S. Snow, Mr. W. G. Thom, Mr. W. H. L. Usher, Mrs. W. E. Burritt, Master H. Burritt, Mr. H. W. Curry, Mr. E. Davis, Mrs. J. R. E. Woolley and child.

From Boston—Mrs. J. Ayre, Miss H. Baird, Miss M. Bates, Mrs. J. C. Chadder, Mrs. H. L. Chaney, Mr. F. Cole, Mr. N. Cole, Mr. D. Hayes, Mr. T. Hayes, Mrs. M. Hayes, Mr. R. Hatch, Miss E. Hatch, Miss G. Kelloway, Miss M. Kelloway, Mr. J. Noonan, Mr. P. Noonan, Mr. P. Selig, Miss S. Yetman. The Sachem leaves for Liverpool to-morrow morning.

Eat Mrs. Stewart's Home-made Bread.—ap18,smos

### Personal.

Mr. G. G. Glennie, Manager of the Bank of Nova Scotia, who with his family spent the winter in Bermuda, returned by the Sachem last evening.

Mrs. James Ayre was a passenger from Boston by the Sachem last evening.

Mr. Arch Munn, who had been on a short business trip to Halifax, re-

turned by the Sachem yesterday.

Miss H. Baird, who had been visiting friends in Toronto, returned by the Sachem.

Mrs. Eric Collier left by the Rosalind Wednesday for New York, on a month's visit to friends.

SCOTCH CONCERT, Wednesday, May 18th. Come and enjoy some of the songs that never die.—may10,61

Mr. Arch Munn, who had been on a short business trip to Halifax, re-

### The Bell Island Ore Tax Agreement.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir:—In common with you, sir, I am willing to plead guilty to "lack of knowledge of the fundamental elements regarding the nature of Iron Ore," attributed to us by Mr. F. W. Angel, in his letter which was published by you on the 11th inst. But I cannot see what that has to do with the question whether ore should be allowed to be exported to Germany from Bell Island free of duty. As a matter of fact, Mr. Angel has completely established the accuracy of my assertion that our ore is vital now to Germany. He says that "before the war, our ore found a market in Germany because its steel industry was built up on such low grade ores as ours, largely supplied by Lorraine." Well, as Lorraine has become French, the Germans will be more than ever dependent upon us, and our ore will be used as the basis of an industry competing with the great Great Britain and Canada. But, says Mr. Angel, as British capital is behind the merger which will control Bell Island, it cannot be unpatriotic for us to aid and abet the merger's shipments of ore to Germany. I do not know that capital is necessarily patriotic, and it has often been ready to "profiteer" at the expense of kith, kin and country. So far as we are concerned, we should at least make Germany pay for our ore. What will it profit us to let that ore go duty free?

I cannot congratulate Mr. Angel on his proposal that Newfoundland vessels should be engaged in carrying coal and ore. Surely, we've no vessels of sufficient tonnage to engage profitably in such a traffic. Of course, the "Lobelia" might be tried.

Yours truly,  
ALFRED B. MORINE.

May 13, 1921.

### To Be Ordained at Placentia.

REV. DR. T. O'REILLY BOYLE.

On May 21st an ordination service of great interest to residents of Placentia will be held at that place when Rev. Father Thos. Boyle, a native of Jersey, Placentia, cousin of Archbishop Roche and nephew of Mr. John Bradshaw and Magistrate O'Reilly, will be admitted to the priesthood. Father Boyle, whose parents reside at Whitney Pier, C.B., is a distinguished graduate of Antigonish University and he continued his philosophical and theological studies at the renowned seminary of Quebec. He has made a special study of the science of Catholic Sociology on the principles advocated by Pope Leo XIII. His many friends will congratulate him on his reception to the sacred order of the Catholic priesthood after many years of strenuous application to scholastic and scriptural studies.

### Picked Up Buoy.

An apparently new buoy belonging to the Department of Marine in Quebec, was picked up Saturday by Capt. Taverner, of the steamer Sagona, while on the way to port from Port aux Basques. The buoy was drifting about with two shackles of heavy chain attached to it, and was more or less of a menace to shipping, when serious trouble would follow should a steamer's propeller get mixed up with the heavy chain. For this reason Capt. Taverner stopped long enough to hoist the two-ton obstacle on board the Sagona, landing it on the terminus wharf, where it will remain until such time as the Quebec authorities come after it. This is the second valuable buoy Capt. Taverner brought to North Sydney, the last one being the property of the Dominion Government, who failed to reimburse the captain for his trouble.—North Sydney Herald, May 11.

Stafford's Prescription "A" will cure that uncomfortable feeling caused by indigestion and dyspepsia. Price 35 and 70c. Postage 10 and 20c. extra.—a26,if

### C. L. B. Cadets.



#### Monthly Meeting of Officers' Mess.

The regular weekly parade of the C.L.B. Cadets was held last night. There was a splendid turnout of all ranks, the following officers being present: Lt.-Col. Goodridge, Major Raley, Capt. Henry and Snow, Lieut. Rendell, H. C. Hayward, Lewis, L. Hayward, (Rev.) Fletcher, Hunt, Moty, King and Perlin. Company Drill was taken by the various Company officers during the evening. The following are extracts from orders for the week ending May 19th: Orderly Officer for week—Lieut. L. Hayward.

Next for Duty—Lieut. A. B. Perlin, Duty Section—No. 4 Sec. F. Co.

Duty Chaplain—Rev. J. Brinley. The following Lance-Corporals were promoted to the rank of Corporals: T. Quinton, W. Thiford, J. Constable, W. Lockyer, R. Anderson. Lt. Corporal C. Press to be Acting Corporal.

The regular monthly meeting of the Officers' Mess was held last night and was largely attended. Amongst the matters to come up for discussion was the Annual Mess Dinner. The Secretary, Lieut. F. B. Rendell, read a communication from the Ladies' Auxiliary in this connection, in which they stated their willingness to cater for the dinner. The question of fixing a date for the affair was left in the hands of the Mess Committee.

Lieut. Lewis presented the financial statement for the past month, and passed some remarks upon Brigade finances and the question of raising the amount asked for in the Budget.

A committee of five members of this Mess was appointed by the Mess President, Lieut. (Rev.) Fletcher, to consider ways and means of raising the officers' quota. The committee consists of Lieut. Reeves as convenor, and Lieuts. H. C. Hayward, Perlin, Snow and Hunt.

A committee consisting of Lieuts. Lewis, Rendell and L. C. Hayward was appointed to confer with the Ladies' Auxiliary and representatives of other branches of the Brigade in connection with certain matters of importance broached by the Ladies' Auxiliary.

The President, before the meeting adjourned, officially extended a welcome on behalf of the Mess, to Capt. J. Dawe, O.C. Bay Roberts Company, who was present at the meeting.

There being no further business, the meeting then adjourned. Several sets in the Ping Pong Championship were played last night. Major Raley is now well ahead of all other competitors, not having lost a single point as yet.

On Monday night, the Band is giving a concert in aid of the Shannon Munn Memorial Company, and it is hoped that all who can will attend.

The next parade will be held on Thursday, May 19th, when a good attendance is expected. By that time, it is hoped that Major Williams will be back in the Quartermaster's Department again after his trip to Canada.

The presentation of the Outerbridge Shield will take place at the Armoury within the next few weeks.

### Obituary.

Word was received yesterday that Mrs. Jas. R. Hayes of St. George's had passed away at that place on Sunday last, the 8th inst., interment taking place at the local cemetery on Tuesday. This estimable lady was formerly Miss Annie Dooling of St. John's, but had been domiciled at St. George's for a period extending over twenty years, and by her splendid traits of character and sterling qualities, had endeared herself to the people of that community, whose sympathy will go out to the sorrowing husband and two sons, whom she leaves to mourn.

—By Bud Fisher.

### MUTT AND JEFF

JEFF FIGURED THIS GUY COULD GET AWAY WITH A BIG SAFE.

