And the same were read and ordered to be entered in the Journals of this House, and are as follow:—

## TERREBONNE CONTROVERTED ELECTION,

JUDGES' CHAMBERS, MONTREAL, 19th October, 1896.

To the Honourable J. D. Edgar,

Speaker of the House of Commons, Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to inclose an authentic copy of the final judgment by me rendered, on the Fourteenth instant, maintaining the preliminary objections, dismissing, as a consequence, the Election Petition and declaring the Respondent duly elected, in re the Election for the Electoral District of Terrebonne.

I have the honour to be, Mr. Speaker, Your obedient servant,

HENRI T. TASCHEREAU, J.S.C.

Canada,
Province of Quebec,
District of Terrebonne.

Superior Court.

DOMINION CONTROVERTED ELECTIONS ACT AND AMENDMENTS.

Election of a Member of the House of Commons of Canada, for the Electoral District of Terrebonne, in the Judicial District of Terrebonne, held on the 16th and 23rd days of the month of June last (1896), being the nomination day and the polling day, respectively.

The 14th day of October, 1896.

Present:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE TASCHEREAU.

FÉDERIC CHARBONNEAU, annuitant, of the Town of St. Jérôme, in the District of Terrebonne,

Petitioner:

vs.

LEON ADOLPHE CHAUVIN, Advocate, of the City and District of Montreal, residing at Ste. Rose, in the Judicial District of Montreal, and Member of the House of Commons of Canada for the Electoral District of Terrebonne,

Respondent.

The Court, having heard the parties by their respective Counsel, on the merits of the preliminary objections of Respondent, in reply to the Election Petition in this case, examined the procedure and all exhibits of record, and on the whole duly deliberated:

Considering that Petitioner has not established in evidence his qualification as an elector duly entitled to vote at the Election in question in this case, or at any Election of a Member of the House of Commons of Canada, to represent the Electoral District of Terrebonne, and that, Respondent having by his said preliminary objections denied the possession of such qualification on the part of Petitioner, it was incumbent upon the latter to establish the same; that not having done so, the said Petitioner has not proved himself competent to be the Petitioner in this case; Doth, in consequence,