These statements run up to 1st January, 1884. Since then the tariff rates have been continuously decreasing, as is shown by the following return for the year ending 31st December, 1884:—

,,	Longth			Control
Name of Company.	Length of Line.	Operations.		ton per mile.
Cleveland, Columbus, Cinci natti & Indianapolis R'y			\$ 3,600,346 2,756,749	Cts.
Pennsylvania and New Yor Canal and Railway	k		843,597 2,151,338 1,541,794	0.633
		Profit.	609,544	0.86
Northern Central Railway	, 323 miles.	Exp.	5 529,876 3,468,394 2,053,483	0.825
Chicago, St. Louis and Pitta burg Railway		Rec.	4,396,840 3,602,213	0 025
New York, Lake Erie an Western Railway	d 1,900 miles		791,627 21,637,435 16,358,077	0.6
		Profit.	5,279,358	0.685
Lake Shore and Michigan				0.652 0.646
Louis (Nickel Plate)	.523 miles.		3,207,591 2,389,234	
Pennsylvania Railway:		Profit.	818,357	0.476
Main line	. 287 " }	Rec. 4 Exp. 3	18,566,917 30,527,016	0.740 0.576 0.804
New Jersey and branches	s. 443 ")		.8,039,901	1.365
These tariff rates have v	zielded profi	ts. 29 sh	own by	the net

These tariff rates have yielded profits, as shown by the net earnings above mentioned. The fact that the New York, Chicago and St. Louis Railway has, during the past few weeks, passed into the hands of a receiver, is not an argument against the lowering of the rates, but it merely demonstrates the necessity of not increasing the cost of construction of a road. That road is responsible for \$70,000,000, or \$132,000 per mile. The Pennsylvania Railway Company shows, by the following return, taken from their report, that their rates are remunerative:—