

reference to people like Lewis (Economics) who really know something. You can see that there is not much "Co-operation" here - and the only cooperation offered is loaded down by the connexion with Psychology.

This introduces the fact that the main part of the racket is Mental hygiene - the Hincks organisation wants to establish independent agents, with independent money, under the roof-tree of a University which gives the show prestige. The actual result is that the Staff and the Students are both sides of the wall: Students can be bought with the money and the staff can acquire honour as getters of money.

On the other hand it should not be forgotten

- (a) that the money can be had if you care to accept the terms.
- (b) that you might secure your own terms
- (c) that you have the advantage of a Professor of Sociology (which we have not) and should be able to unload the problem on him -
- (d) that while the topics named\* are clearly nothing but psychological uncertainties, there are others.

\*i.e. in your letter  
Motivation  
Family Research  
Behaviour etc.  
[Fargan!?!]

Finis.