- 2 -This board should be given power to recommend the appointment of examiners and both the Department and McGill University should undertake not to depart from these recommendations without further reference to the Board. The Board should also appoint moderators as suggested below and should authorize the papers actually set. No examiner should be allowed to sit on the Board. (3) Moderators The examination subjects should be divided into groups - perhaps three groups:-(1) English and History) (2) Greek, Latin, and French (3) Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry, Botany, Physical Geography (Music and Drawing require, I think, different treatment which I am unqualified to prescribe.) For each of these groups three moderators should be appointed, one by each university and one by the Department, whose duty it would be to act as experts, carefully to criticize the papers submitted by the examiners, and report upon them to the Board. These reports would take cognizance of standards, wording of questions, divagations from the syllabus, etc. If the moderators were properly chosen it would not be necessary for each to be an expert in all the subjects of his group. The Board would authorize a paper only after a consideration of the report from the moderators. If any paper is entitled to be re-read, this re-reading should be done by the moderators, or one of them, in consultation with the original examiner. The moderators should be paid and the present fee paid to the High School Leaving/for its revision duties should be discontinued. No examiner should be allowed to be a moderator.