the constituency of Hastings-Frontenac to the constituency of Northumberland and the moving of the Townships of Huntingdon and Hungerford from the constituency of Hastings-Frontenac to the constituency of Prince Edward.

- 9. That the Electoral Boundaries Commission be invited to reconsider the boundaries of the constituency of St. Paul's in Metropolitan Toronto to take into consideration certain areas presently divided but which share a certain "community of interest" and to make the boundaries of the said constituency more closely conform to existing Provincial and Municipal electoral demarcation lines.
- 10. And such further and other objections as the undersigned Members may consider applicable in order to carry out the spirit, terms and conditions of this Act in question.

## Signatures of Members:

P. Dick (Lanark-Renfrew-Carleton)

A. Lawrence (Northumberland-Durham)

W. Baker (Grenville-Carleton)

P. Beatty (Wellington-Grey-Dufferin-Waterloo)

G. Hees (Prince Edward-Hastings)

B. Halliday (Oxford)

J. R. Holmes (Lambton-Kent)

Wm. Kempling (Halton-Wentworth)

Wm. Scott (Victoria-Haliburton)

P. B. Rynard (Simcoe North)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

- 1. The Commission failed to give adequate attention and consideration to geographical, political, and demographic factors when planning the redistribution of the riding of Ottawa Centre; and appeared to ignore and did ignore the question of unity or community of interest.
- 2. Part of each of the communities known locally as Ottawa East and Mechanicsville have been excluded from the riding of Ottawa Centre by the report of the commission.
- 3. And such other objections that the undersigned Members may consider applicable in order to carry out the spirit and terms and conditions of the Act in question.

## Signatures of Members:

H. Poulin (Ottawa Centre)

N. A. Cafik (Ontario)

K. Penner (Thunder Bay)

J. Fleming (York West)

G. MacFarlane (Hamilton Mountain)

A. C. Abbott (Mississauga)

G. Parent (St. Catharines)

A. Nicholson (Trinity)

P. McRae (Fort William)

D. Ethier (Glengarry-Prescott-Russell)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

- 1. The Commission failed to retain historic and traditional names in some of the proposed changes.
- 2. The Commission has failed to either give any reasons or in other instances adequate detail of reasons for proposed changes in constituency boundaries or the elimination of constituencies as such.
- 3. That the Village of Lancaster remain in the historical county of Glengarry-Prescott-Russell and that the name of this historical riding shall not be changed from its present identification of Glengarry-Prescott-Russell.
- 4. And such other objections that the undersigned Members may consider applicable in order to carry out the spirit and terms and conditions of the Act in question.

## Signatures of Members:

N. A. Cafik (Ontario)

C. Douglas (Bruce-Grey)

D. Ethier (Glengarry-Prescott-Russell)

V. Railton (Welland)

A. Martin (Scarborough West)

H. Poulin (Ottawa Centre)

L. Hopkins (Renfrew North-Nipissing East)

A. Nicholson (Trinity)

R. Kaplan (York Centre)

E. Whelan (Essex-Windsor)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

- 1. The Commission failed to comply with the provisions of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act respecting the Province of Ontario through its failure to provide in its recommendation a "reason therefor" as required in the Statute in Section 2, sub-section (1).
- 2. The Commission failed to give special consideration to geographical, social and community of interest considerations respecting the Township of Georgina by proposing to locate the said Township in the riding of Victoria-Haliburton with which it has little or no association.
- 3. The Commission failed to give special consideration to geographical, social and community of interest considerations respecting the inclusion of the Township of Scugog in the riding of Cobourg-Newcastle with which it has little in common.
- 4. The Commission failed to give adequate consideration in determining a proposed name for the riding of Durham West, a designation which will cause confusion with the electorate.
- 5. The Commission failed to take advantage of the population spreads available to them. Thus creating larger nonurban ridings than are required in the Act and consequently depriving rural ridings of appropriate representation.