It may not be untimely to suggest that in the interest of sound insurance laws, legislation will be required limiting the transactions of American companies to the healthy portions of the American continent, and at the most to those foreign countries where the law of mortality is well established upon reliable data. The practice that now prevails of charging an extra premium in such countries as can furnish no reliable mortality tables, in order to meet the extra death rate, without incurring at the same time an additional reserve to meet such additional liability, is not in accord with good insurance laws, nor is it based upon correct insurance principles. The advantage to be derived to the home policyholder in an American company writing foreign business, and especially a business conducted on the mutual principle, has rot yet been satisfactorily pointed out. An adverse experience in countries where a reliable mortality table is not extant might seriously encroach upon the surplus, and by reducing dividends raise the cost of the insurance. The presumption, to say the least, is not in favor of the foreign business. Finally, is not the North American continent a reasonable and safe field, in territory, in mortality experience, and safe in stment, for the display of the combined enterprise or the American life insurance companies?

## INSURANCE COMMISSIONER FYLER ON THE ENDOWMENT ORDERS.

In his recent annual report on the life insurance business, casualty and seessment companies, Commissioner Fyler of Connecticut has the following forcible comment on the assessment endowment concerns. Let it be remembered that these are the words of an impartial State official sworn to protect the interests of the people, and based upon extensive knowledge of the workings of the orders referred to. We quote:—

Their numbers are legion; they swarm over our borders from Massachusetts; they come armed with a certificate bearing the seal of that commonwealth, evidencing that they are duly organized under its laws. With this certificate of character from a State long regarded as authority on sound insurance legislation, they enter upon their work of fleecing the public. There are no absurdities in the way of insurance that are not promised, with fraud stamped on every contract; and their literature promising the impossible does not impede but rather accelerates the business. The scheme finds ready victims in every community. The treasury is filled only to be depleted by abundant salaries and gratuities paid to the concoctors of the swindle, ordinarily called officers of the company. It would be difficult to estimate the amount of money drawn from this State during the past year by these short-term endowment associations. The commissioner is informed that in one town with a population not exceeding seven thousand, over four thousand dollars were contributed to one endowment association, and that largely by the laboring class of the community. Before the term of endowment had matured in a single case, the association was in the hands of a receiver, and the funds, to a large extent, in the pockets of the managers. Recently a judge in Massachusetts, in imposing a fine on the officers of one of these defunct associations, remarked that it was his jegiet that the law did not vest him with power to imprison as well as fine for the offence. A few incarcerations would unquestionably have a beneficial effect. A law under color of which such swindles can be carried on should also clothe the court with a discrenonary power to punish commensurately with the fraud perpetrated.

## MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE IN ONTARIO.

From the report of the Ontario Inspector of Insurance, Mr. J. Howard Hunter, for 1891, we compile the following table showing the principal features of the condition and business of the companies called cashmutuals:—

•No re- ave liability is charged against risks taken on note system.  1 Less than one-bird of this total is from eash premiums,	Totals †	Wellington Mutual	Waterloo Mutual	Perth County Mutual	Ontario Mutual	Millers and Manstr's	Hand	Gore District Mutual	Fire Ins. Exchange	Economical	NAME OF COMPANY.		
	1,12,960	38,720	118,539	14,524	S,o46	41,450	31,349	99,172	16,225	44,507	Premiums and assessements on notes.		
	207,500	27,307	61,652	25,104	10,718	4,818	1,984	54,602	2,326	\$,988 18,988	Iosses 7		
	563,543	41,549 42,531	130,48: 124,190	52,403	19,430	53,908	50,913	148,921 148,335	16,944	\$ 48,993	Total Re-		
	521,901	42,531	124,190	55,781	19,694	37,186	43,595	148,335	14,669	\$ 35,919	Total fx- penditure.		
	128,021	12,917	1,311	11,591	811	9,921	19,053	53,591	8,657	10,862	Cash Assets.	Audi,	
	865,675	12,917 132,862	1,311 182,317 112,986	11,591 106,854 33,669	17,221 10,169	26,780 , 58,661	19,053 10,219 45,591	53,591 185,784 : 100,693	8,657 10,643 11,781	\$ \$ \$ \$ 69,162	Premium Notes.		
	459, 194	16, 182	112,986	33,669	10,469	58,661	45,591	100,693	11,781	\$ 69,162	Other Assets.		
	1412,960 207,500 563,543 521,901 128,021 865,675 459,194 1,452,890	161,961	296,614	152,111	27,808	95,362	74,863	340,067	31,081	\$ 273,019	Total Assets.		
		7,053	2,467		1,299	1,18,1	7S	:	148	\$ 1,000	Loves unpaid.		
	13,229 103,931	6,909	43,073	10,524	5,017	13.1	5,522	27,530	1,742	<b>\$</b> 3,480	Reserves on cash system.	Liab	
	21,572		:	153	18,822	2,176	373		\$\$	٠,	Other Liabilities.	blities.	
	21,572 138,732	13,962	45,540	10,677	25, 158	3,494	5,973	27,530	1,938	4,480	Other Liabilities, Liabilities.		
Of	48,745,293	4,221,212	14,742,791	5,797,224	2,097,141	2,323,453	2,327,014 le	9,601,754	2. 581,444'1	6,190,516 Mits	Amount at Risk. I	icen	

Of the above companies the Ontario Mutual's license was cancelled by Order-in-Council on February 10th, 1892 The insurance report also covers the transactions of 59 "purely mutual" township and similar Ontario companies. They are credited with aggregate assets of \$2,935,930, of which \$2,787,473 is informium notes, land \$14,870 in unpaid assessments. Total liabilities are given at \$50,261, which, of course, includes no