

Griffith—A patient bat, with good powers of hitting a real leg ball, but weak on the leg stump, and liable to get l. b. w. or be bowled off his pads. He is a very fine fielder, a useful lob bowler, and plays the game for all he is worth.

Cooke—A hard hitter; plays better in practice than in matches. He has, however, a good eye, and is perhaps the surest run getter on the Eleven. He is a good change bowler, but an uncertain fielder. Cooke with Doolittle have been curators for the season and the cricket material has certainly been looked after better than ever before. This has added much to the pleasure of playing, and Doolittle and Cooke deserve our very best thanks.

Greenhill—Is gradually improving as a bat; needs to play with his body more over his bat. He has done excellent work in the field and may be considered a sure catch.

Harmer—Was late in getting into form owing to preparing for examinations. His batting has much improved, and he would be a good wicket keeper with more practice.

Doolittle—Considering his short acquaintance with the game has made wonderful progress in batting. He plays a good straight bat and is very promising. As a fielder he is good, but has proved disappointing at several critical moments. He should practice wicket-keeping.

Kerr—One of our most promising bats. He has the elements of a good style, hitting powers and good judgement. As a fielder at point he is excellent, and has done some very good work in that position.

Price—Plays with a very straight bat, and can often defend his wicket for a long time. He plays very late on the ball, and consequently rarely gets it away. Lately, however, he has improved in this respect. He is a fair fielder, but cannot throw in straight.

Miller—Has a style in batting which is all his own. It is sometimes effective, however. He can hit very strongly, and has more than once helped the Eleven out of a tight hole. He is a very poor fielder, and uncertain catch.

Mair—Got his blazer for the T. C. S. match. Both on that occasion and in the U. C. C. match he thoroughly justified his selection. He was not out four times in the two matches. He went in last in each case and was at the wicket whilst 56 runs were put on. He is a splendid fielder, and was chosen for that reason only. Baldwin, Hoyles ma, and Dalton ran Mair very closely

for the eleventh place, and the Committee had great difficulty in making their selection.

Mr. Miller and Mr. Williams—Have not done much batting to speak of during the season. Mr. Miller's 15 not out against Rosedale, and Mr. Williams 33 against Buffalo being their only noteworthy performances.

Besides the first Eleven it is only just to mention a few of the most promising juniors. Baldwin, Hoyles ma, Dalton, Cross should be heard from next season, whilst among the youngsters, Suckling, Charles, Mackenzie, Jones and Patterson promise well.

THE MATCHES.

May 16th, Buffalo at Home—Buffalo 63 and 94. Ridley 60 and 65 for 3 wickets. College fielding loose; many catches missed. Match should have been won. Doolittle 24 (not out) and Hills 23. Lost by 3 runs.

May 20th, Welland at Home—Welland 28. Ridley 93. Hills, 36, Cooke 25. Won by 65 runs.

May 25th, Grimsby—Grimsby 37 and 40 for 6 wickets. Ridley 49 and 46. Hills 15 and 20. A very slow wicket and runs hard to get. Won by 12 runs.

May 30, Buffalo at Buffalo—Buffalo 38, Ridley 56. This game was chiefly noticeable for Mr. Michell's patient innings. He was in three-quarters of an hour for 8, enabling Mr. Williams to make 33.

June 3, Grimsby at Home—Grimsby 71 and 96, Ridley 55 and 60 for 3 wickets. Grimsby brought a much stronger eleven, Cobbold, Stone and Andrews being in evidence this time. Grimsby were cheaply disposed of for 71, and the College should have won on the first innings, but only Cooke and Greenhill reached double figures. In the second innings, when time would not allow a victory, scoring was going on at a furious rate, and in another half hour the match might have been won. Lost by 16 runs.

June 6th, Old Boys—Old Boys 51, Ridley 153. Anderson made 23 in fine style for the Old Boys, whilst Hills 24, Mackenzie 25, Griffith 25, were the chief scorers for the College. Won by 102 runs.

June 10, Parkdale at Home—Parkdale 32 and 75, Ridley 54 and 50. This match should have been won. In their first innings the College had 8 wickets down for 25, when Harmer and Greenhill for the ninth wicket ran the score up to 54. In the second innings the College required 54 to win. Six wickets were down for 45, and the remaining four only added 5 runs, thus losing the match by 3 runs.

(Continued on 9th Page)