Canada has a population of about 5,500,000, or perhaps 6,6 000, much more than the popula of either Scotland or Ireland. The penditure on the Imperial army and navy for the year 1808-90, in time of peace, amounted to £44,008,341, or about \$5.40 perhead per annum for every soul in the British Isles. At that rate of expenditure, if Canada were an independent country, spending money to preserve her nationality and freedom, she would have to expend on defence about \$20,700,000 per annum. Canada has a population of about 5,-700,000 per annum.

The United States are paying for the same service now about \$433,000,000, or about \$5.50 per head per annum. This would be equal to about \$30,000,000 for us if we were a part of that republic.

Our Expenditure.

Our militia expenditure in 1899 was \$2,212,000, or about 40 cents per head per annum, while the cost for defence in all civilized countries varies from \$2 to \$5 per head or more. Then let us make another comparison. The comparison. total expenditure the United Kingdom for the year 1898-99 amounted to £108,150,235, of which £44,068,000 were expended upon the army and navy. The same year the expenditure of the Dominion was \$41,903,500. If we had spent on de-fence in the same proportion to our revenue as our fellow-subjects in the mother country have done, we should have spent about \$16,000,000, Instead of \$2,212,000.

The United States in 1890 expended a total of \$605,106,746. The amount expended on their army, navy, military pensions, etc., for that year amounted to over \$483,000,000, or more than two-thirds of the entire expenditure. Were we to expend in the same proportion from our revenue we should spend about \$28,000,000 per annum.

Take another comparison, port trade of the United amounted in value in 1899 to £329,000,-000. Our export trade in 1899 amount-ed to \$158,893,905, just about one-tenth that of the United Kingdom. If we pald for the defence of our export trade In the same proportion as Great Britain, we should have to pay about \$21,000,000 per annum. The same comparison with the United States would show

that our outlay would be still greater.

I have shown that in proportion to our population, our annual expenditure and our export trade we are spending about one-tenth of what other nations expend on defence. Now, can we in the colonies, with our great increase in the colonies, with our great increase in the colonies, with our great increase in the colonies. wealth, population, resources and trade, fairly expect to be of all coun-tries the only ones to escape paying what is reasonable for preserving n tional life and freedom

There can be no question that a should begin to consider most serious our duty in this respect. The moth country is overwheimed with burder every nation in the world is increas: every nation in the world is increasing year by year its power, offensive as defensive, and there is no communiwith assets so valuable, so worth defending and protecting and so liable excite cupidity as the British Empire No one can tell at what moment work all be engaged in a struggle four vary aristance as a people, and were aristance as a people and were arrived as a people and were arrived as a people and were a people an our very existence as a people, and v should at once organize and combli our strength, so as to be able to mal the most effective defence of our right

The Duty of Canada.

I have been asked to discuss the que a nave been asked to discuss the que tion of what we in Canada should d and I shall make a few suggestions; a few words. In the first piace w should increase our militia conside ably. We have been spending on the force sums varying from about \$1,200,000 per annum to \$2,212,000 in 159. A great portion of this money has bee taid out for what might be called hear raid out for what might be called head gear—that is, for staff, caretaker gear—that is, for staff, caretaker storekeepers and officials of every kind The machinery for looking after an managing the force is large enough to do the duty for double the number of militla we maintain. I believe an addition of 30 or 35 per cent. to the militiation of 30 or 35 per cent. estimates to be spent in drill pay an clothing would cover the cost doubling the strength of the activalities. It is poor economy not to have this done. A small percentage mor would double the number of days' dri which should be devoted to careful in struction in rifle practice.

Defend Our Seaports.

Canada should also take upon he own shoulders the defence and main tenance of Halifax, Esquimait and Que bec on a proper scale. St. Joh should be fortified, also Sydney, when our great i con interests are being in augurated. Sydney should be strongl guarded as a most important coalin station. All this should be done thoroughly. We should maintain a mixe force of cavalry, arthiery, infantry, en gineers, army service corps, army medi cal corps, etc., all complete, and en rolled under conditions by which the could be promptly despatched whereve the common interests of our empire re quired their services, and be retaine while the necessity lasted.

Arms, munitions and stores of al

kinds should he gathered and constant