

families ruined, only to acquire an empty name of glory? If this is to be the case, when at any time hereafter we break with the enemy, we ought only to act on the defensive; and instead of attempting to take any of their places, only take care of our own; it would be great saving to the nation, and shew signs of foresight and prudence.

By renouncing our conquests we renounce the very means for paying off our national debt: what then will our posterity say of us, for entailing upon them such a grievous burden of misery? Will they not curse the folly of their ancestors? and will they not blacken us with appellations, which can be then no crime to pronounce? and consider our dastardly reported reason for making peace (at this critical time when there are so many prospects of more advantages, whereby as well as by our present conquests the debt might in a great measure be paid) as an infamy that never can be wiped off, *viz.* "That we are undone; we cannot raise money to support the war another year, and therefore must make such a peace as our enemies will admit?" --- If the French were in our case and we in theirs, they would not grant us such terms.

Let those who assert the above reason for making peace consider, that as long as we have a Patriot King there can be no fear of raising what supplies are necessary to support the war;

n said  
st, tho'  
nor of  
ift for  
of the  
h I am  
trating  
as the  
unction  
e new  
aps. be  
ociation

*supposed*  
I per-  
because  
nent on  
ither do  
tute of  
interest:  
articles  
re than  
ng for?  
then is  
e to re-  
d much  
ence nor  
conquer  
Are the  
ed away  
em, and  
merable  
families

e real and  
e cabinet.