

thereto, the government House Leader will move that the debate shall not be further adjourned.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** On another point of order, the hon. member for Thunder Bay—Atikokan.

\* \* \*

### POINT OF ORDER

HANSARD "BLUES"

**Mr. Iain Angus (Thunder Bay—Atikokan):** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a very important point of order. I have been advised by *Hansard* that they are unable to provide members who have spoken today with the traditional "blues" for correction purposes. I understand that they have a computer problem, not related to the flu that has been going around in the other offices.

I would ask Your Honour to give consideration to some temporary revision of the rules as it relates to making corrections. This is Friday. The House at some point this afternoon will adjourn for the weekend. Normally we would have had the "blues" before we left the Hill. That is obviously not possible today.

Normally when we arrive in the House on Monday we have the white copy of *Hansard*. In this particular case it may not be in the final form in which we usually receive it, only because members have not had the opportunity to correct the "blues". So I would ask Your Honour to give consideration to this and to indicate to the House on Monday, when you return, the process that will be used to allow members to make legitimate corrections in the *Hansard* record.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** The Chair will look into the matter and will report back to the member and the House at one o'clock on Monday when the House reconvenes.

\* \* \*

### CANADIAN CENTRE FOR MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT

MEASURE TO ENACT

The House resumed consideration of the motion of Mr. Mazankowski that Bill C-34, an act to establish the Canadian Centre for Management Development and to

amend certain acts in consequence thereof, be read the second time and referred to a legislative committee.

**Mrs. Beryl Gaffney (Nepean):** Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to stand here and speak on Bill C-34, an act to establish the Canadian Centre for Management Development and to amend certain acts in consequence thereof.

In 1977, my esteemed colleague, the member for Ottawa—Vanier, proposed, as a Private Members' Bill, the establishment of, in his words, "a sort of College Canada for the administration echelons of the Government of Canada whereby the excellent reputation of the Canadian Public Service known throughout the world would be honed, polished and made even more ready to serve not only the federal government but all of the people of Canada".

The bill tabled, which would have established such a centre, died on the Order Paper at the end of that Parliament.

On April 15, 1988, Bill C-148 was first introduced to Parliament. The bill, which received unanimous approval by the House of Commons on August 25, 1988, proposed to establish the Canadian Centre for Management Development. Unfortunately, the thirty-third Parliament was dissolved before the bill was sent back to the House for final approval. Therefore, Bill C-148, too, died on the Order Paper.

Legislation has once again been introduced in the House of Commons that would establish this centre. The bill is a revised version of Bill C-148 and proposes that the Canadian centre be established as a departmental corporation with a board of governors rather than a department with an advisory council.

This latter fact brings up an interesting point, one that came up during the space agency centre discussions. The revised proposal would have a board of governors rather than a department with an advisory council. Whenever this happens, the fundamental issue becomes whether this type of structure undermines the accountability of the centre to the government and to Parliament.

Furthermore, the responsibilities of the proposed board of governors are not clearly defined in this bill and thus raises many questions that should be thoroughly studied. For example, what kind of power will the board be given? The principal is an *ex officio* voting member of the board. Does this mean that the principal can, at the