

only the beginning, thus implying that the government intends to assume even greater ownership of the industry. That, in the opinion of some members, was another stupid statement. When the Prime Minister says that some of his ministers make stupid statements and he says that in the House, I would say it affects the privileges and concerns of all members.

Madam Speaker: I would say that this might affect the privilege of that hon. member in question, but not of all hon. members. At any rate the word stupid is not unparliamentary. Commenting on the speeches of ministers does not enter into the purview of a question of privilege, so I have to say to the hon. member that he does not have a question of privilege.

* * *

[Translation]

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

Mr. D. M. Collette (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Madam Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: Nos. 1,059, 1,330, 1,518, 1,573, 1,694, 1,704, 1,811, 1,846 and 1,850.

[Text]

NATIONAL HARBOURS BOARD ANNUAL REPORT

Question No. 1,059—**Mr. Clarke:**

1. Was the most recent annual report of the National Harbours Board published in 1978 for the fiscal year 1977 and, if so, for what reason has there been a long delay in producing a report for the fiscal years 1978 and 1979?

2. What steps will the board undertake to ensure that such a delay will not occur?

Mr. Robert Bockstael (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport): The National Harbours Board advises as follows: 1. No. The most recent annual report of the NHB tabled on July 3, 1980, was for fiscal year 1979. The annual report for 1978 has been printed but not yet tabled or distributed. The reasons for the delay are: internal NHB delays were incurred by this problem was resolved prior to the preparation of the 1979 annual report. Delays were incurred in the printing process. The 1978 report was ready for tabling immediately prior to the dissolution of Parliament in December, 1979. Subsequent events created delays which were beyond the control of the NHB.

2. Steps have been taken to ensure that delays in publishing the annual report will not recur as evidenced by the early completion of the 1979 report.

RCMP INTERNAL DISCIPLINE

Question No. 1,330—**Mr. MacKay:**

With reference to the Commission of Inquiry relating to Public Complaints, Internal Discipline and Grievance Procedures within the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, was action taken to implement the Commission's (a) 32 recommendations regarding the handling of public complaints (b) 13 recommen-

Order Paper Questions

dations regarding a federal police ombudsman (c) 46 recommendations regarding internal discipline (d) 30 recommendations regarding a revised internal discipline procedure and, if so, in each case, what was such action?

Hon. Bob Kaplan (Solicitor General): Yes, as follows: (a) Thirty-two recommendations regarding the handling of public complaints.

Of these recommendations: 12 have already been implemented through policy, 7 have been modified and are already implemented through policy, 5 are to be implemented through policy, 4 will be proposed for legislation, 2 have been modified and will be proposed for legislation, 2 have been considered but not accepted.

(b) Thirteen recommendations regarding a federal police ombudsman (external review authority).

Of these recommendations: 7 will be proposed for legislation, 6 have been modified and will be proposed for legislation.

(c) Forty-six recommendations regarding internal discipline.

Of these recommendations: 4 have already been implemented through policy, 13 have been modified and are already implemented through policy, 5 will be proposed for legislation, 19 have been modified and will be proposed for legislation, 4 have been considered but not accepted, 1 was found to be inapplicable.

(d) Thirty recommendations regarding a revised grievance procedure.

Of these recommendations: 12 have already been implemented through policy, 10 have been modified and are already implemented through policy, 1 is to be implemented through policy, 2 will be proposed for legislation, 3 have been considered but not accepted, 2 were found to be inapplicable.

RCMP—CANADIAN PLEASURE BOATS

Question No. 1,518—**Mr. Cossitt:**

1. How many American ports along the Canadian border other than Morristown, N.Y., is the RCMP observing, or being assisted in observing Canadian pleasure boats stopping for dinner at U.S. restaurants and (a) what is the name of each port (b) how many persons have been checked by the RCMP for not reporting back to Canada Customs on returning to Canada?

2. If there are no ports, other than Morristown, N.Y., for what reason is Morristown being singled out for special attention?

3. Was the RCMP Brockville detachment given instructions during the 1980 boating season to specifically check on this matter in regard to Morristown, N.Y. area and, if so, what is the highest ranking official who gave such instructions?

Hon. Bob Kaplan (Solicitor General): 1. None. (a) Not applicable. (b) Only statistics available show approximately 400 boats checked.

2. RCMP does not observe or assist in observing Canadian pleasure boats stopping for dinner at U.S. restaurants.

3. Brockville detachment was not given specific instructions during the 1980 boating season to concentrate on boats visiting Morristown, N.Y. It is within the authority of the member in