Supply-Agriculture

almost doubled, and this seems to be one of just does not exist. the problems.

I was interested in looking back over the records and found that a commission was set up by this house in 1937 to inquire into the time has arrived when this situation should be reviewed. The cost of farm machinery I want to show hon, members, especially those who do not come from western Canada, that when the farmer is prosperous the whole Chairman. economy of the country is in a healthy state. I am not one of those people who play one section of the country against the other section, as has been done by some political parties.

As an example let us consider a 14-foot equipment, and from an agent in Calgary. combine. In 1953 it cost \$5,750 and today it would cost \$9,800. Then, take a swather; in 1953 it cost \$948 and today it will cost \$1,149, an increase of about \$200. I think Hansard? one of the most startling examples is that of a Caterpillar D-4 diesel bulldozer with a hydraulic lift. It cost about \$5,000 in 1940 and today it costs \$15,000. These are just typical examples of the increased cost of farm equipment. It seems to me the time has arrived when we should review the prices of farm machinery in order to keep agriculture in a healthy condition. I should like to know, as a member of parliament, how many dollars worth of labour goes into the construction of this Caterpillar D-4. I should like to know how many dollars of profit result from the sale of this item. The cost of this item would have to be worked right back to the cost of the steel. However, I think that could be done and it is time such an investigation by a new commission was undertaken. We need to know the reason for such increases in farm machinery.

There is one other thing I should mention. I believe the purchasers of farm machinery

farm. He is farming 1,500 acres of land and should keep in mind the fact that there is no he has equipment which was purchased any- tariff on farm machinery entering Canada. where from 1947 up to the present. He uses I ascertained this fact today. This means that all this equipment because he is a very effi- a combine has no duty imposed on it. There is cient farmer; he is one of the master farmers no duty imposed on farm machinery entering in Alberta. The cost of the equipment he Canada from the United States. I discussed has, based on the cost price at the time of this question with a member of the customs purchase, amounts to \$37,493.40. If he went to the market today to buy that same equipment it would cost him \$57,500. This illustrates one of the problems. If you consider the cost of that equipment at 1953 prices the cost of that equipment at 1953 prices in Alberto, I have been detailed in the customs of the customs branch, and he was kind enough to review the list of items I gave him. He said that there were no duties imposed on the list of machines, except two of them. I refer to the list of equipment used on the 1,500-acre farm you will find the cost would be about \$30,000, in Alberta. I have heard many times from whereas in 1958 the cost would jump to political platforms references to the tariff \$57,000. The cost of farm machinery has protection given farm machinery, but that

There is one thing about which I am not When I was in the library this morning sure, and I should like to hear an answer to this question if it can be answered. Why does the machinery in the United States cost less? Why does it cost more when it comes price of farm machinery. It may be that the into Canada and yet there is no duty imposed upon it? I have heard no answer to this question as yet. I should like, with the permission in Canada seems to be out of all proportion. of the house, to put on Hansard the list of machinery to which I have referred. This shows the list of equiment used on the 1,500how the cost of some of these machines has acre farm and also the prices of that equipincreased. I am one of those who believe ment in 1953. There are two pages, Mr.

> The Deputy Chairman: May I ask the hon. member the source of his information?

> Mr. Woolliams: This information came direct from the farmer who purchased the

> The Deputy Chairman: Is the committee agreed that this table should be placed on

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Woolliams: The tables are as follows: INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER COMPANY

March 3, 1959

	1953 Price	Price Approx- F.O.B. imate Calgary increase		
	\$		\$	\$
14 ft. #181 combine 10 ft. #101 combine 120 Swather	5,750 2,301		,800 ,040	4,000 3,700
power take-off Self propelled swather w	948 as none		,149 ,800	200 790
	when fame out			(in four years)
I.H.C. 660 diesel tractor I.H.C. 460 diesel tractor 15 ft. diskall 15 ft. diskall with seed	4,758 4,099 789	4	,475 ,863 ,497	800
attachment	1,100 735		,697 977	597 240
No. 46 P.T.O. baler	1,820 1,571		,390 ,866	570 280

[Mr. Woolliams.]