

No. 236

# JOURNALS

## OF THE

### HOUSE OF COMMONS

### OF CANADA

OTTAWA, MONDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 1976

2.00 o'clock p.m.

## PRAYERS

Mr. Richardson, a Member of the Queen's Privy Council, laid upon the Table,—Copy of Extract, dated January 30, 1976, from Report following two Canadian Army Exercises held at Port Hope in 1951 and 1953.—Sessional Paper No. 301-7/40.

By unanimous consent, it was ordered,—That the Extract be printed as an appendix to this day's *Hansard*.

Mr. MacEachen, seconded by Mr. Sharp, by leave of the House, introduced Bill C-82, An Act respecting the Thousand Islands Bridge, which was read the first time and ordered to be printed and ordered for a second reading at the next sitting of the House.

The text of the Message and Recommendation of the Governor General pursuant to Standing Order 62(2) in relation to the foregoing Bill is as follows:

His Excellency the Governor General recommends to the House of Commons a measure respecting the Thousand Islands Bridge to provide that the Minister of Finance may, upon the vesting of the Bridge in Her Majesty in the right of Canada, pay out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund an amount equal to the amount of any unpaid municipal taxes, interest and penalties which are due.

Pursuant to Standing Order 39(4), the following Question was made an Order of the House for a Return:

No. 2,391—*Mr. Epp*

1. How many immigrants were landed by Order in Council (Ministerial permit) in each of the past ten years?

2. What were the characteristics of the immigrants in each year in terms of (a) country of last permanent residence (b) occupation (c) sex (d) age (i) under 19 years (ii) 20 to 29 years (iii) 30 to 39 years (iv) 40 to 64 years (v) 65 and over?

3. What were the reasons, in a broad sense, for the admission of the immigrants by this special procedure in each year?

4. Is it the policy of the Department of Manpower and Immigration to reveal to the public the identity of those who initiate this special procedure on behalf of the applicants, be they attorneys or other consultants working for a fee or private persons acting for other than pecuniary reasons and, if not, for what reason?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/2,391.

Mr. Blais, Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, presented,—Return to the foregoing Order.