states, and the new EU member states. In services, the old EU member states are followed by Russia and the new EU member states.

| | EU-15 | EU-12 | Russia | China | India | The US or Canada |
|---------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|---------------------|
| All sectors (R&D) | 37% | 25% | 15% | 23% | 17% | 7% |
| Manufacturing (R&D) | 30% | 30% | 0% | 37% | 22% | 17% |
| Services (R&D) | 42% | 21% | 26% | 13% | 14% | 0% |

Table 3.2. Shares of companies with 50 of more employees in Finland that have offshored R&D tasks in 2001-2006

Data source: Statistics Finland

The offshoring of R&D has primarily been driven by the desire to enter a new market, to better fulfill customer needs, and to achieve cost savings (Ali-Yrkkö 2006a). Local regulations and needs often necessitate making product adjustments, and the easiest way to implement these adjustments may be by having a local presence. Operating in developing countries often generates cost savings because, for instance, in China, the cost of R&D staff is approximately one-third or one-fourth of the cost of equivalent labor in Finland (Ali-Yrkkö and Tahvanainen 2009). However, some R&D tasks have also been offshored to developed countries such as the US, where R&D labor costs are notably higher than in Finland. Based on qualitative data covering the largest Finnish companies, Ali-Yrkkö and Palmberg (2008) report that in Finland the labor costs of R&D are, on average, less than half of the US level and in most cases are clearly lower than in Germany or in Sweden.