

to improve the general quality and would be welcome. There were indications that CR's posted abroad do not relish registry assignments as compared to other tasks. This attitude naturally affects their work and may be a reflection of inadequate conditioning and training before departure from Ottawa.

44. A valid complaint, and one which to some extent echoed Ottawa-based officers, is that not enough selected key or policy information reaches the posts. This situation will be alleviated to some extent as the Selected Documents series is stepped up in production, and would further benefit as the important material in the Department is identified and made accessible to users through general improvement of the information system. Some officers abroad enquired about the use of microfiche and video tape techniques and country data banks.

The Records Management Division

45. Annex III contains detailed information on the structure and procedures of the Records Management Division as at present constituted. What follows is a view of the Division largely taken from the perspective of Departmental users.

46. Throughout the years and in a number of studies it has been recognized that the Department is dependent on its substantive files, and that the Division charged with managing them should be provided with the requisite people, skills and procedures to carry out this task effectively. In practice, however, the Records Management Division has tended to be relegated to low priority levels for both resources and skills.

47. A recital of historical problems in this area would serve little useful purpose, but it is instructive to cast back to the period just prior to and after the move to the L.B. Pearson Building. When the Department occupied a number of buildings sub-registries were a necessity. Access to files was psychologically easier because of physical proximity in most cases (although less so after some consolidation within buildings) and thus the phenomenon of working files, while still present, was not so pervasive or well developed as it is today. Many of the complaints regarding the contents, filing and organization of the material one hears today were also being voiced at that time.

48. The move to a new building in which special facilities would be provided was generally expected to result in a much improved service. Experience has unfortunately proven otherwise. In some measure the problems can be and were ascribed to failures in the mechanical equipment features of the new system. For instance, frequent breakdowns of the Randriever caused large backlogs in the placing of items in their subject files and long delays in retrieval since the automatic mechanism used to pull files from the storage shelves was inoperable. Compounding this problem was the almost daily stoppage in the conveyor system due to box-jams. Since desk officers could not rely upon the system their dependence upon and the scope of working files grew considerably. It is an axiom of systems' use that, having failed the user a number of times, it is extremely difficult to regain his confidence; this is evident in the current system even though there has been a reduction in most equipment faults. A danger exists that the visible difficulties, which apparently explain so many of the recent operational problems, could in fact obscure some of the more important elements which condition the possibility of success or failure for the whole process. It is revealing, therefore, to compare some key factors in the Registry function with some other information-handling groups in the Department, and with the Department as a whole. Salient features of the comparisons are shown in Figure I, while Figure 2 gives some indication of the scale of operations in the Records Management Division.