

will add furthermore, I consider that he appeared to experience more comfort and happiness in serving God on the missions than performing easier labor at home—and I think, that, exclusive of any other testimony, the consideration of the many visits to which I have already alluded, would serve to corroborate these statements which I take upon myself to make. It was not that he experienced much bodily comfort, for oftentimes in the sections of missions the fare was not always the best, but that did not signify, the Bishop was always contented with it. We must also take into consideration that he was accustomed to say mass and preach on almost every occasion of his visits. The exertion alone of preaching, which would sometimes last so long—for he sought to benefit the fathers as well as the children—that I often felt surprised how he could endure such bodily fatigue. All these things considered, we can easily say why he was such (permit the terms) a welcomed guest among priests and people. It will not, consequently, be wondered at, that hundreds would collect to escort him either into or out of the missions, and felt sorrowful at parting with him. He was understood to interest himself so much in the spiritual and temporal welfare of the missions, that he seemed to make his home therein; and the missionary himself was always left happy, by reason of the great good effected for the prosperity of his people. This may account, in some measure, for the improvements which were being made during his day. For, owing to the kindness shown the clergy by the Bishop, they took it as a pleasing and easy task to labor under him; and the lively interest he took in the welfare of the missions prompted them to contribute their share of exertion and means for the interests of religion and the benefit of their congregations.

*SIXTHLY.—His hospitality and kindness to his Priests on the occasion of their visits to him.*—It affords me a pleasing duty to allude to this trait in the Bishop's character, for, unworthy as I was, I often enjoyed his kind hospitality, and often experienced affection which I had no right to expect. On this subject I can say nothing new, for what Priest of his Diocese did not also receive marks of kindness at his hands. Each one seemed fully aware of the warm reception they might expect when paying their visits, and consequently, when there was question of consultation, or treating of matters connected with the missions, many of the priests preferred to apply in person through a written communication would have sufficed. It was not therefore unusual to find daily at the Episcopal residence some Priest who had come from the missions. They all felt they had a home there, and if they had not money in their purse, they would not have reason to experience any inconvenience. In his day, no Priest found himself a stranger in the city. No sooner would the Priest have entered the palace and paid his obedience, than the very first question put by the Bishop would be if he had taken dinner, or tea, as the case might be, and oftener still would he call the servant to prepare something to eat, without putting any question at all. He would seem pained if the visiting Priest would, during his stay in the

city, accept of table. The humble as would discern no frown. The kind sorry where set at all to it. His kindness was regarded as a meal. No he could be servant and commodated could not time can be

SEVEN of the Bishop with him, a share of memoir I testant, w business, ever left l and gentl crality of missions, trivial, y that insp have had children, good Bis might no attach th children failed to years, in excited c or send public al desist m ner app father to Christ.

Have and ton might n I made