n question. American e put forth), that the is, and preof latitude. to the quaosed, or at

ipotentiary of its proconvention

nless it be hited States 1819, and swer. of Spain to

ete as never

e discovery y had been territorial nst Russian iot hesitate

sued to prelonging to

ed acts of

isive rights emanating same rule. ered as crid States, be from this ig the Ameyet Spain the Florida

ke, and the chments on

it are consiirse of the

oain**, c**onsithe United ision of the st article of egon terrinade on the ctions took hts—appear ain, as the the transfer

for the par-Britain and 1?

ciples of the

of any title orida treaty. ights, is to act to which territory on

effected preention, and s; nor can ng all claims ment, antein their own proper right.

In 1792, Gray entered the mouth of the Columbia River. In 1805, Lewis and Clarke effected a passage across the Rocky Mountains, and discovering a

branch of the Columbia River, followed it until they reached the ocean.

In 1811, the trading post or settlement of Astoria was established at the mouth of the Columbia, on the northern side of that river.

This post or settlement passed during the last war into British hands by the voluntary act of the persons in charge of it—a fact most clearly established. It was restored to the United States in 1818, with certain well-authenticated reservations; but it was never actually re-occupied by American citizens, having, from the moment of the original transfer or sale, continued to be occupied by British subjects.

These are the acts of discovery, exploration, and settlement, referred to by the United States

as giving them a claim to the valley of the Columbia, in their own proper right.

The British Government are disposed to view them in the most liberal sense, and to give to them the utmost value to which they can in fairness be entitled; but there are circumstances attending each and all of them, which must, in the opinion of any impartial investigator of the subject, take from them a great deal of the effect which the American negotiators assign to them as giving to this country a claim to the entire region drained by the Columbia and its branches.

In the first place, as relates to the discovery of Gray, it must be remarked that he was a private navigator, sailing principally for the purposes of trade, which fact establishes a wide difference, in a national point of view, between the discoveries accomplished by him and those effected by Cook and Vancouver, who sailed in ships of the Royal navy of Great Britain, and who were sent to the north-west coast for the express purpose of exploration and discovery.

In the next place, it is a circumstance not to be lost sight of, that it was not for several years followed up by any act which could give it value in a national point of view; it was not in truth

and it is the state of the stat

made known to the world either by the discoverer himself, or by his Government. So recently as the year 1826, the American Plenipotentiaries in London remarked, with great correctness, in one of their reports, that, " respecting the mouth of the Columbia River, we know nothing of Gray's discoveries but through British accounts."

In the next place, the connexion of Gray's discovery with that of Lewis and Clarke is interrupted by the intervening exploration of Lieutenant Broughton, of the British surveying-ship

Chatham.

With respect to the expedition of Lewis and Clarke, it must, on a close examination of the route pursued by them, be confessed that, neither on their outward journey to the Pacific, nor on their homeward journey to the United States, did they touch upon the head waters of the principal branch of the Columbia River, which lie far to the north of the parts of the country traversed and explored by them.

Thompson, of the British North-west Company, was the first civilized person who navigated the northern, in reality the main branch of the Columbia, or traversed any part of the country

drained by it.

It was by a tributary of the Columbia, that Lewis and Clarke made their way to the main stream of that river, which they reached at a point distant, it is believed, not more than 200 miles from the point to which the river had already been explored by Broughton.

These facts, the undersigned conceives, will be found sufficient to reduce the value of Lewis and Clarke's exploration on the Columbia to limits which would by no means justify a claim to the

whole valley drained by that river and its branches.

As to settlement, the qualified nature of the rights devolved to the United States, by virtue of the restitution of Fort Astoria, has already been pointed out.

It will thus be seen, the undersigned confidently believes, that on the grounds of discovery, exploration, and settlement, Great Britain has nothing to fear from a comparison of her claims to the Oregon territory, taken as a whole, with those of the United States.

That reduced to the valley drained by the Columbia, the facts on which the United States rest their case are far from being of that complete and exclusive character which would justify

a claim to the whole valley of the Columbia; and

That, especially as relates to Vancouver's Island, taken by itself, the preferable claim of Great Britain, in every point of view, seems to have been clearly demonstrated.

After this exposition of the views entertained by the British Government respecting the relative value and importance of the British and American claims, the American Plenipotentiary will not be surprised to hear that the undersigned does not feel at liberty to accept the proposal offered by the American Plenipotentiary for the settlement of the question.

This proposal, in fact, offers less than that tendered by the American plenipotentiaries in the

negotiation of 1826, and declined by the British Government.

On that occasion it was proposed that the navigation of the Columbia should be made free to

both parties. On this, nothing is said in the proposal to which the undersigned has now the honour to reply; while, with respect to the proposed freedom of the ports on Vancouver's Island south of latitude 49°, the facts which have been appealed to in this paper, as giving to Great Britain the strongest claim to the possession of the whole island, would seem to deprive such a proposal of any value.

The undersigned, therefore, trusts that the American Plenipotentiary will be prepared to offer some further proposal for the settlement of the Oregon question more consistent with fairness and equity, and with the reasonable expectations of the British Government, as defined in the statement (marked D), which the undersigned had the honour to present to the American plenipotentiary at the early part of the present negotiation.

The undersigned British Plenipotentiary has the honour to renew to the Hon. James Buchanan, Sceretary of State and Plenipotentiary of the United States, the assurance of his high consideration.

Hon. James Buchanan, &c. P. PAKENHAM.