Hon. Mr. LANDRY—I would suggest to the hon. leader that if he would give me his authority for ten days—I will not take the salary—but I will fill the position as minister without salary for ten days—I would get the answer.

Hon. Mr. SCOTT-Let the other motions stand for about ten days, and if I receive the information, I will bring it down.

Hon. Mr. LANDRY—Let the other questions stand till Wednesday next, and the last question may stand till Tuesday of the following week, at the eve of prorogation.

Hon. Mr. SCOTT—In regard to that last question, I have given the hon. gentleman all the information I propose to give. I have exhausted more time on it than the whole thing is worth.

Hon. Mr. LANDRY—We must not lose our patience; but we must get the information, and we must keep cool.

Hon. Mr. POWER—I rise to a question of order. There is no rule more decided and clear than that there shall be no discussion of the ministerial answer to a question. The hon. gentleman is a great stickler for order, but every day he discusses nearly every answer which is given to him.

Hon. Mr. LANDRY—I have not discussed the answers at all. If the hon, gentleman had given another answer, I would have accepted it. When there is no answer, I have a right to ask that an answer shall be given.

The SPEAKER—Do I understand the Secretary of State to declare that he has answered the last question No. 15 of the list?

Hon. Mr. SCOTT—I have answered that fully. I am told by the Finance Department that that matter has been satisfied, that they were satisfied with the way the matter was decided and accounted for.

Hon. Mr. LANDRY—I do not discuss that part of it. That is quite correct; but I want the names.

Hon. Mr. SCOTT-I cannot get the names.

Hon. Mr. LANDRY-We shall get the names.

Hon. Mr. SCOTT.

## GRAND TRUNK PENSION SCHEME. INQUIRY POSTPONED.

The order of the day being called :

Hon. Mr. FERGUSON rose to call the attention of the Senate to the following clipping from the 'Montreal Daily Star' of the 15th April last :

Grand Trunk pension scheme a success.

In an interview this morning with the 'Star,' Mr. William Wainwright, assistant to the general manager and comptroller of the Grand Trunk railway, expressed his complete satisfaction with the new pension scheme as placed in operation by the company on January 1st. The three months trial, he declared, had convinced the officials that the inauguration of a pension fund was a wise move and the money was well spent. He believed that the company would save in the damages account alone enough to meet the cost of carrying the fund along.

cost of carrying the fund along. And will inquire if the government will procure and lay on the table of this House, authenticated copies of all rules, by-laws and regulations made by the Grand Trunk Railway company under sections 5.6, 7 and 8 of chapter 89 of the Acts of Canada of the year 1907.

Hon. Mr. SCOTT—I wrote Mr. Wainwright, and I have had no answer from him, and I understand the Department of Railways and Canals have also written him but have not received any reply. Of course we have no record of it, and this is a pure matter of courtesy. I thought that he would have sent the information.

Hon. Mr. FERGUSON—We shall let it stand till Tuesday. I desire the question to stand, because I want to make a very brief statement with regard to it, in order that the scope of the question may be thoroughly understood.

The question was allowed to stand.

## QUEBEC HARBOUR COMMISSIONERS.

## INQUIRY.

Hon. Mr. LANDRY inquired of the gov- . ernment:

Who are the persons that have been appointed by the government, since 1896, to form part of the Quebec Harbour Commission, with the date of each of the appointments in question?

Hon. Mr. SCOTT-The answer is as follows:

Jean Baptiste Laliberté, October 3, 1896; Narcisse Rioux, October 3, 1896; Dosithée Arcand, October 3, 1896; Harold Kennedy, October 3, 1896; Wm. M. McPherson, October 3, 1896; Roger Larue (vice N. Rioux,

904