

Business of the House

accommodating, because we think that principle should be underscored and underlined in the Parliament of Canada.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. MacEachen: Mr. Speaker, I would remind the hon. member for Grenville-Carleton (Mr. Baker) that he was reading from the provisional standing order which expired in October, 1977.

Mr. Baker (Grenville-Carleton): That could be; that is why I put the alternative.

Mr. MacEachen: However, I stand by what I said earlier, that we would consider whether we could support this motion if we can overcome the procedural difficulties.

I should advise the hon. member that when he read the motion I agreed with it as I heard it. I did not hear the final phrase "subject to review process with ultimate appeal to the courts." I think that is a very important principle which will be part of our consideration in fulfilment of the commitment which I made earlier today.

Mr. Baldwin: Mr. Speaker, I am sure that if the House leader recognized that I would be able to vote for a motion allowing for a final appeal to the judges, the government should be able to follow along.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The matter having been referred to earlier today, and raised again now for this brief discussion, does relate to the manner in which the House can conduct business tomorrow, and is therefore a proper point of order to be taken up at this time. In any case it remains open for further consideration and discussion. Perhaps resolution of some sort can be made by the time the debate begins as an order of the day tomorrow.

Some hon. Members: Six o'clock.

Mr. Speaker: Shall I call it six o'clock?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: It being six o'clock p.m. this House stands adjourned until tomorrow at 2 o'clock p.m.

At 5.28 p.m. the House adjourned, without question put, pursuant to Standing Order.