

*Business of the House*

**Mr. E. J. Broome (Vancouver South):** Mr. Speaker, I should like to congratulate the sponsor of this bill because of his many kind references to me. There is one aspect of the bill to which I do not believe the sponsor has given full consideration, and that is the implication that there would be increased government control of union activities and interference with the collective bargaining process as a result of this legislation. I would have thought that after the recent experience in Newfoundland, about which the hon. member did have many pointed remarks to make as did his colleagues, he would wish to shy away from any implication of interference, except to the extent that is required to protect the unorganized workers through minimum wage laws.

The transport workers are not unorganized. For many years the backbone of the American federation of labour was the running trades of the railroads as well as the non-operating brotherhoods. I happen to know a bit about this because my own father was for many

years a conductor on Canadian National Railways. I do know that these men went into their jobs with the full knowledge of the disadvantages as well as the advantages. Let us never forget that in these operations there are advantages which are not given to the ordinary worker in a factory.

I must hurry, because I have not too much time. However, one of the clauses of the bill, which is a punitive clause, is the one providing for triple time—

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Rea):** Order; I am sorry but I must advise the hon. member it is now six o'clock.

**BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE**

**Mr. Herridge:** May I ask the leader of the house what is on the agenda for Monday?

**Mr. Green:** On Monday we shall commence the budget debate and go right through as I indicated.

At six o'clock the house adjourned, without question put, pursuant to standing order.

---