Re Théophile Chamberland.—H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator, Jan. 27.

Re O. Coté & Co., Montreal.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 28.

Re John Crichton, jr.—D. D. McBean and L. de Martigny, Valleyfield, joint curator. Jan. 20.

Re Dlie. Anastasie Dagenais, Montreal.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 27.

Re Magloire Dansereau.—C. Desmarteau, Montreal, curator, Jan. 20.

Re Clovis N. Deragon.—J. E. Fay, Knowlton, curator, Jan. 21.

Re Duclos & Co., Montreal.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 28.

Re Olivine Gauthier.—F. Valentine, Three Rivers, curator, Jan. 28.

Re P. B. Larivière, Nicolet.—J. McD. Hains, Montreal, curat r. Jan. 28.

Re D. J. McIntosh, St. Justine de Newton.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 24.

Re Wm. F. Mount, Montreal.—H. Collins, Montreal.curator. Jan. 24.

Re George Nault, River Desert.—D. Seath, Montreal, curator, Jan. 9.

Re Wm. Paquette, Quebec.—H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator. Jan. 28.

Re Israel Rosenstein, St. John's.—W. A. Caldwell, Montreal, curator, Jan. 27.

Re T. Rousseau & fils.—C. Desmarteau, Montreal, curator, Jan. 21.

Re Jos. Roy, Montreal.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 24.

Re J Philéas Samson.—E. Leclerc, Levis, curator, Jan. 15.

Jan. 15.

Re H. O. Senécal, Montreal.—Kent & Turcotte,
Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 8

Re Chambly Cotton Co.-G. Hyde, Montreal, liquidator, Jan. 26.

Re François Xavier A. Trudel, St. Stanislas de Batiscan.—Lamarche & Frigon, Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 26.

Dividends.

Re E. Arcand, St. Césaire.—First dividend, payable Feb. 23 A. Girard, Montreal, curator.

Re Edgar Bergevin, Quebec.—First dividend, payable Feb. 18, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re W. Brouillette & Co.-First and final dividend, payable Feb. 17, C. Desmarteau, Montreal, curator.

Re Thos. Corrigan, Montebello.—First and final dividend, payable Feb. 18, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re Dame J. A. Ecrement, St. Gabriel de Brandon.

-First and final dividend, payable Feb. 23, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re Geo. H. Gauvreau, dry goods, Montreal.—First and final dividend, payable Feb. 18, David Seath, Montreal, curator.

Re E. F. Lavoie, Quebec.—First and final dividend of 31c., payable Feb. 7, D. Arcand, Quebec, curator.

Re Robert McNabb & Co.—First and final dividend,
payable Feb. 17. W. A. Caldwell, Wontreal, curator.

Re Mullarky & Co., Montreal.—First and final dividend, payable Feb. 17, W. A. Caldwell, Montreal, curator.

Re Naroisse Turgeon.—First and final dividend, payable Feb. 17, Jos. Goulet, Lévis, curator.

Separation as to property.

Florentine Doré vs. Joseph Ste. Marie, trader, parish of St. Urbain, Jan. 27.

Odille Dubuc vs. Joseph O. Martel, farmer, parish of Ste Brigide, Iberville, Jan. 26.

Sophie Lafaille vs. Pierre Boivin, butcher, St. Johns, Jan. 27.

Georgianna Lambert vs Damase Samson, farmer, parish of St. Charles de Bellechasse, Dec 24.

Nathalie Julet dit Laverdure vs. Cyrille St. Germain, Montreal, Oct 15.

Dame Annie Shearer vs. Andrew Gilmore, farmertownship of Elgin, Jan. 26,

Sophie Vautrin vs. Ludger St. Jean, trader, Montreal, Jan. 29.

GENERAL NOTES.

LIBELLOUS PUBLICATIONS .- The Council of the Montreal B ard of Trade, in their last report, say :-- " The council has had under its consideration the circulation of libellous publications coming from foreign countries, and adopted resolutions recommending that all newspaper matter imported in quantities as nerchandise shall be debarred from an entry at the Custom house or conveyance by mail, until the same forms of registration have been gone through as are required of those citizens who publish newspapers at home, and that persons registering shall be responsible or shall give securities; that any aggrieved person or corporation may complain to a judge of any published or circulated matter printed in a foreign country, as being libellous or as having an immoral tendency, whereupon the judge shall, after notice through the newspapers or therwise to the interested parties, try the case, and in the event of his finding the matter complained of to be a criminal libel or to be subversive of morality, he may order the confiscation of all the printed matter implicated, and he may further order that the newspaper or publication so condemned shall be debarred for any time not less than three months, nor more than twelve months, from an entry at the Custom house, from conveyance through the mails, or from public sale. That private individuals shall be forbidden to bring any such publications into the country for circulation during any prohibited time, under a heavy penalty for each offence, the same to be recovered summarily."

Service in the Slot.—The "slot" business has been much overdone of late, and we rejoice to see that the Supreme Court of this State have drawn a line on it, and have held, in Livingston v. New York El. R. Co., 58 Hun, 131, that one cannot put a notice of appeal in the slot and get a service. This slot was in the door of the attorney's office, and was marked "Letters," but the notice was not inclosed in a sealed wrapper directed to the attorney. This was not a proper deposit in the attorney's letter-box, because the notice was not inclosed, nor a deposit in a conspicuous place, within the meaning of the statute, because the office was not open.—Albany Law Journal.