THE CANADIAN

Bee Journal.

PUBLSHED MONTHLY.

New Series Vol. I, No. 7.

BRANTFORD, ONT. JAN., 1894.

WHOLE NO.

After weighing all the evidence, we are under the impression that the queens rather than the climate should regulate BROOD the size of the brood chamber CHAMBER and that this should be done by additional frames rather than a means of a departure from the standard size of frame.

For those who use the Langstroth hive it is folly to attempt to run for extracted honey with only one super.

EXTRACTORY If there is rarely any fall supers flow, colonies with two supers can frequently be run for extracted honey to good advantage. If you have never given this method a trial do so.

,

Ontario, yes more, Canada has reason to be proud of the result of the awards at Chicago. Mr, Pringle's article reached us too late RESILTS AT CHICAGO for ed 'torial comment last month. But we have maintained, that as a province, and more as a Dominion, there is no country which can equal our own for quality of honey, for the profit with which bee-keeping can be encaged in and for the skill of its beehe result at Chicago keepers. sustained that position, and yet with all the natural advantages we possess. we have many difficulties to overcome. It requires united effort to overcome these most rapidly, why can we not have such.

More than ever are we impressed with the importance of bearing in mind that locality has much to do with LOCALITY difference in results under the same management. For instance where the honey flow generally lasts only four to six weeks the management may be unite different to the locality where one may also depended on the fall flow; again, we are satisfied that what may be the best system for the specialist. will not be the best system for the beekeeper who has only a few colonies and who cannot devote a full share of his time to the bees.

..

Only when we have definite results from careful experiments do we begin to realize how haphazard were former MICHIGAN conclusions. Long practical experience must never

be ignored, yet when the results are not carefully calculated these conclusions are often incorrect. Without anything disparaging apicultural experimental work in the past. · we do not hesitate to say that the work being done through Mr. Taylor by the government for bee-keepers is of a very practical nature, and we trust not only that this work may continue, but spread until bee-keepers receive a portion of that which is due them, and the importance of this branch of agriculture merits. Criticism will be of great assistance to Mr. Taylor and if it can be done in a friendly spirit, as this will be, so much the better.