Esquire, agent on 'laintiff's Attorney.

Advocate Press ury to the propermy attempt at coney would incur. would have been less exertion been

is not to be asmosity;—the perently the true and
to pay at once the
tes, to be deterthat purpose—
eet this proposal?
ion, and must not
the consideraefer that course
efer that course
is will be used by
nor any future
upensate voluntarepeated assaults
any adequate re-

AULAY.

ul<u>v</u>, 1826.

proposals than fully meet the which they are

willing to pay ne of the whole er any continwould be no er.

any excess to e whole to in-

ite the contro-

LAY.

James E Small to James B. Macaulay,

My Dear Sir :-

York, July 7th, 1826.

I received your note last evening and am extremely sorry to find that our endeavours to settle anicably this anfortunate affair have proved abortive—your proposals cannot be listened to. Will you therefore as stipulated have the goodness to return me the memorandum I handed you, and believe me

very truly yours

JAMES E. SMALL.

The Hon. JAMES B. MACAULAY.

York, June 29, 1826.

DEAR SIR,-

I received your note of last evening, and it is wholly impossible for me to say what the actual damage to the materials may be, not knowing the quantity that has been thrown into the lake, and otherwise totally destroyed. I can only state that to which I can make affidavit at any time—namely, that a few minutes after the outrage, I visited the office, and it struck me then, and I am still of opinion, that the desperadoes made their best—rtions, not to upset the types, &c. as stated by Mr. Carey, but to destroy every thing in the most malicious manner—as large quantities of types were dashed out of the windows upon flags underneath, and I hear, out of form—with their face downwards, which was sufficient to cause certain destruction and from this impression on my mind, and the destructive appearance which I saw on that evening, I would not, were I about to purchase, give more than something about the value of old type metal for all the letter in the wrock.

I remain

Yours respectfully, FRANCIS COLLINS.

WILLIAM LYON MACRENZIE Esq.

JAMES LUMSDEN an apprentice in the office of the Colonial Advocate (formerly apprenticed to the king's printer, and messenger in the Commons House of Assembly) his voluntary testimony respecting certain matters which took place at the riot—(about 18 years of age.)

I went down the wharf to see the Martha Ogden steam-boat go out, William Doyle was with me, and my attention was drawn to-wards the office by observing three men come down to the wharf, each with a case of type in his hand which they threw into the lake—Mr. Richardson the barrister was one, Mr. C. Heward a law student with the Attorney General was another, the third I did not know. At the time this outrage was going on I saw Mr. Allan the magistrate, and Mr. Heward the clerk of the peace, talking together outside of Mr. Allan's gate, they were looking towards the office, and I believe they saw the persons throwing the type into the bay, and that Colonel Heward saw his son Charles the Attorney General's law student so employed—from the position in which I stood I have no doubt but that these functionaries were witnesses of this transaction, and they did not, to my knowledge, make any attempt to keep the peace on witnessing this outrage. When